OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES

SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 7 / 11 / 2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title **"Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan"** and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665 Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Wyoming	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Wyoming County Probation	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Joan Kibler-Director
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 585-786-8869	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: JKibler@wyomingco.net

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	$ 43,713
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	$ 40,000
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	$ 30,000
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	$ 70,000
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	$ 112,903
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	$ 70,000
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	$ 42,903
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	$ 13,713
TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:	$ 42,903

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Wyoming County is a very rural county with several small towns/villages contained within the county. Only 2 youth were placed in detention for the 2013-2014 state fiscal year (SFY). Each youth spent about a week in detention before they were placed in a residential facility that suited their needs. Detention allows Wyoming County DSS and the court/Probation time to look for an appropriate placement for the youth. The 2012-2013 detention expenditures reflect a total of 12 secure/non-secure days for 2 youth.

We currently have 18 youth placed in foster care under PINS and 2 youth placed due to JD charges. A review of youth placed in foster care under PINS reflects that 7 out of the 20 youth in foster care came from the village of Arcade. Arcade is located adjacent to Erie and Cattaraugus counties. There is no way of telling whether being close to these larger counties influences the behavior of youth in this village. There are too many variables. 12 of the 20 youth placed under PINS/JD are residing in residential facilities. None of these residential facilities are located in Wyoming county and are an hour or more away.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected**

amount of STSJP funds to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

"Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs."

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

STSJP Program One	Youth Bureau Caseworker	**Type of Program (ATD/ATP)**	ATD/ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			$ 7500.00

1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?
   Even though our analysis shows many current cases from the Arcade area we would not target that area with this program. The Youth Bureau Caseworker (YBCW) is assigned PINS diversion cases by Probation. There is no way to project that new cases will continue to come from that area. This type of program does not lend itself to any one area in the county.
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? We will estimate that the YBCW will work with approximatley 15 youth over the next fiscal year. The YBCW carries a maximum caseload of 5 at any given time. She may have a case for up to a year or as little as 3 months. If a youth does well and abides by the prgram, they move forward quickly and the case can be closed. Other times the case is returned to Probation for a violation and the case comes back to court with either foster care placement as an outcome or an adjudication of PINS with an admittance and assignment of Probation for a year. The YBCW may retain a case for up to a year when she sees some progress and feels the youth is making an effort.

Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two".

1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Approximately September of 2013. We had to wait until the plan was approved and the money was released to us.
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? We didn't create slots. The money allotted was used to offset the YBCW's salary as she is trained as a Casewoker.
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? About 6 months
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? About 12

For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:

1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) Approximately 10
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: Still have some claims to file for last year. Money will be depleted.

STSJP Program Two	Hillside Customized Contracts	**Type of Program (ATD/ATP)**	ATD/ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			$ 28,500.00

1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? We cover all geographic areas of Wyoming County for this program.
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three".
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Approximately September of 2013. We had to wait until the plan was approved and the money was released to us.
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? Slots were not created. We used the money as needed for these customized contracts.
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 6 months. Caseworkers write a purchase of service for 3 months of service. Many cases require a renewal to be effective as the first couple of months are spent ascertaining the needs of the child and then pinpointing the best approach to meeting that child's needs. WCDSS makes referrals to Hillside Children's Center in an effort to keep PINS youth out of foster care. A Skillbuilder is assigned to work with the youth based on the individual needs of that youth.
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? About 20
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 14
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: Still have some claims to file from last year. Money will be depleted.

STSJP Program Three	Youth Bureau Purchases of Service	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			$ 3,000.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? All of Wyoming County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 7			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Approximately September of 2013. We had to wait until the plan was approved and the money was released to us.			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? No slots were created. The YBCW will request funds through a purchase of service (POS) to pay for various programs/needs for a child she is working with through the PINS diversion program. For example, a youth was court ordered to attend a program for fire starters and the parent's health insurance didn't cover this so a POS was written to pay for this service. This helps the youth comply with a court ordered service and keeps him out of foster care.			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A- it varies with each POS.			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? About 4			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: Still have some claims to file from last year. Money will be depleted.			

STSJP Program Four	Mileage to Parents of PINS Youth	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			$ 2500.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? All of Wyoming County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Approximately September of 2013. We had to wait until the plan was approved and the money was released to us.			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? N/A- no slots were created. Purchases of service were written by the Casewokers for mileage reimburement to parents of those youth who are currently on a PINS. Parents are required to ensure their child is attending chemcial abuse treatment, counseling, or some other court ordered service. Many parents do not have the financial resources to fill their gas tank and bring their child to these programs. By reimbursing for mileage WCDSS is assisting the youth with his compliance.			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? Varied with each child.			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? About 5			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of			

these outcomes:
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: Still have some claims to file for last year. Money will be depleted.

STSJP Program Five	Programs not covered under Insurance	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			$ 1900.00
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? All of Wyoming County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Approximately September of 2013. We had to wait until the plan was approved and the money was released to us.			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 4			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A- This varies depending on the program.			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 3			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: Still have some claims to file from last year. Money will be depleted.			

STSJP Program Six		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? Wyoming County is a very rural county and there are very few people/children of color that reside here. Therefore, we have no problems with disproportionality. In 2012-2013 we placed 2 youth in detention. Both were caucasian. In 2013-2014 we again placed 2 youth in detention and they were caucasian as well. We monitor any racial or ethnic disproportionality internally.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality:

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements
Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: 1.) The YBCW receives PINS diversion cases from Probation. She meets with the youth/family on a weekly basis. Her role is to work intensively with the youth to prevent the case from returning to Probation and having the case be violated and turned into a Probation case or even placement. By meeting weekly with the youth the YBCW stays on top of any existing problems and can offer solutions to the family as to how best keep the child at home and out of foster care.
   2.) Customized contracts with Hillside Children's Center focus on keeping the youth out of foster care. Many times the youth's behaviors stem from an underlying problem, either at home , at school, or in the community. A Skillbuilder is assigned to work with the child and during the course of their work, the Skillbuilder finds out information from the child as to what's troubling them. Once they determine what areas need work they can focus on those problems and work towards keeping them home with their family.
   3.) Youth Bureau purchases of service allow the YBCW to make referrals to the necessary programs for PINS diversion youth. These programs directly impact a youth's ability to stay out of foster care as some are court ordered. If court ordered oligations are not fulfilled, the PINS diversion case is sent back to Probation and violated. The outcome may be foster care.
   4.) Assisting parents/families with milegae reimbursement also directly impacts whether a child may be violated and placed in foster care. If the youth isn't attending their court ordered programs, they could be placed in foster care.
   5.) The same is true for the fifth proposed program of paying for the cost of services not covered under a parent's insurance. Some of the court ordered programs may not be covered by insurance or if they are, there is a high co-pay associated with it. Using some of the STSJP funds to pay for these added costs ensures the youth attends the programs and therefore helps with keeping the youth out of foster care.
2. How they are family –focused: 1.) The YBCW works with the family as well as the youth. She offers suggestions to the family on behavior modifcation or refers them to programs that would be benefical to the youth. 2.) Customized contracts involve the youth and the family. The youth receives the direct service but the Skill Builder will chat with the family about the youth's needs and follow up on any behaviors the family feels needs some work. 3.) YB POS' allows the family to support the youth in whatever area is needed. 4.) Reimbursing mileage is a direct assett to the family. If a family can't afford to transport the youth to his needed programs, that youth will falter in his progress. This takes some fo the pressure off the family. 5.) Paying for non covered programs is also family focused.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: All of the above mentioned services can be replicated across the state.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. Performance outcome for all of the above services was to keep youth in the PINS diversion program out of foster care. Of the approximate 12 youth that the YBCW worked with , 2 were violated and came into foster care. Some of the youth in the program only had to be on PINS diversion a short time. Once they realized someone was going to monitoring their behavior, they changed.

5. What were the barriers if not met? The only barrier to any of the above might be concluded as a lack of engagement on either the family's part or the youth.

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP finds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below. Some of the above services were already in place for youth at risk of placement, prior to the STSJP start up. We became more elaborate with what we felt the family/youth needed to achieve the goal of keeping a youth out of foster care. The funding from STSJP allows us to provide a service that otherwise was not covered under any other entity. We will continue to provide the payment procedure that was devised previously to pay for these services.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: We hope to reduce detention placements by 1 or remain the same in 2014-2015. We only had 2 last SFY. Residential placements are hard to predict. We are at the mercy of the court and Probation. Plus, it depends on the youth's desire to remain out of foster care. We don't feel we can make a prediction on the reduction of youth being placed in residential facilities.

- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs:

50% of youth assessed to be at high risk of substance abuse will participate in a substance abuse evaluation and comply with any recommendations made by the evaluator.

50% of youth assessed to be at risk of suicidal ideation and/or mental health problems will be evaluated and comply with treatment recommendations.

75% of youth deemed to be at high risk of placement in foster care will willingly engage in Skill Building activities with a contracted individual. These activities will work to keep the child at home and in his own community.

75% of youth recommended for community service will engage and complete whatever service is offered to them.

50% of youth who have been deemed at high risk for family problems will engage in family counseling with one or more parental figure. They will continue in family counseling until the therapist feels they are ready for discharge.

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: We only had one outcome for last year and it was to reduce placement of youth in detention by 5%.

-

Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs?

50% of youth assessed to be at high risk of substance abuse will participate in a substance abuse evaluation and comply with any recommendations made by the evaluator.

50% of youth assessed to be at risk of suicidal ideation and/or mental health problems will be evaluated and comply with treatment recommendations.

75% of youth recommended for community service will engage and complete whatever service is offered to them.

- 50% of youth who have been deemed at high risk for family problems will engage in family counseling with one or more parental figure. They will continue in family counseling until the therapist feels they are ready for discharge.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide:

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 19

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 3

Secure detention:	0
Non-Secure detention	2

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	1
Number of PINs placed:	1
TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	36

COMMENTS

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

The number of detention placements was reduced by 3 to 2 in 2013-2014. We feel the individual customized contracts do assist in keeping youth out of foster care.Although we don't have exact numbers to back that up. There are no outstandng barriers. The youth have to be motivated to change. Some have given up and resigned themselves to being placed in foster care.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

We plan to continue with the programs listed above.

SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

A.Douglas Berwanger, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors — 7/10/14

Name (Please Print) — Date

X

Signature

INSTRUCTIONS:

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at