

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 07/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title “**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**” and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Wayne County Department of Social Services	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Wayne County Department of Social Services	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Josh McCrossen, Commissioner
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 315-946-7601	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: 54A493

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 191,128
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 43,501
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 0
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 43,501
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 70,163
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 43,501
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 26,970
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 191,128
TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:	\$ 26,970

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year’s plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

The Wayne County Department of Probation and Correctional Alternatives and the Wayne County Department of Social Services regularly reviews removal and placement data in order to identify any trends that may be developing over time in our county and our communities. This data continues to indicate a fairly even spread of target youth throughout the county. The referrals made to the STSJP program also demonstrate an even spread of youth in need of this service.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

STSJP Program One	Youth Advocate Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD/ATP
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 70,163
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Wayne County will be targeting youth from all geographic areas of our county for this program as the data reviewed supports this practice.			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 18			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? April 1, 2014			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 16			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 6 months			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 18			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 15			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 1			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 2			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 3			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

STSJP Program Two		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Three”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Three	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program Four	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?	\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?	
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?	
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".	
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?	
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?	
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?	
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?	
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:	
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)	
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so	
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court	
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)	
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:	

STSJP Program Five	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?		\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?		
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?		
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".		
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?		
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?		
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?		
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?		
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:		
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)		
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so		
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court		
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)		
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:		

STSJP Program Six	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?		\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?		
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?		
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.		
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?		
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?		
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?		
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?		
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:		
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)		
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so		
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court		
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)		
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:		

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality?

As with last years data, Wayne County does not have any data this year that shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality:

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: If the court, probation, prosecuting attorney, and the family are all in agreement, the youth will be allowed to engage with YAP Services, in lieu of being ordered and remanded to Detention. A request will be made of the Judge to include in the child's order a condition of attendance in school. Successfully addressing the underlying issues that give rise to truant behaviors and other school behavior problems, will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed. They will implement the use of the DRAI along with our assessment process to identify appropriate youth for this program.
2. How they are family –focused: The YAP Director and Advocate engages all family members, from day one, in the planning process. Family meetings are held to discuss the Youth's progress, areas of need, and any modifications needed to the established plan. YAP assists the youth and his/her family with establishing rules and routines for the youth, and expectations of each family member. YAP recently invested time in training on Family Finding. They have and will continue to utilize this knowledge to explore alternate living arrangements for the youth when being in their home or origin is no longer safe or appropriate. YAP workers will engage each family member in efforts to improve the youth's attendance in school - in accordance with the court order - and assist with communication between school personnel, the youth and the youth's parent/caretaker. YAP will be intricately involved with assessments of the youth's educational placement and discuss the youth's plans for his/her future. (Traditional School, Vocational/Trade School, Job Corp, etc.) YAP Supportive Job Funds will be utilized as appropriate to expose the youth to gainful employment. YAP encourages all caretakers of theyouth in YAP to participate in YAP's weekly Parent Support GRoup.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: YAP Services have been utilized over and over in many regions and states and have proven to have been replicated.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes.
For the 2013-2014 STSJP Plan, Wayne County did not anticipate a reduction in Secure Detention Care Days with this program. We did expect to see a 10% reduction of Non-Secure Detention Care Days for the calendar year 2013.

Upon review of our 2013 data, we found that while the number of days of Non-Secure Detention did not reduce by 10% as we anticipated, we did have a significant reduction in our Secure Detention days. We reduced the Secure Detention Days from 292 (2012) to 104 (2013).

We believe that the use of this program was able to impact the use of Secure Detention days, by quickly engaging the youth in services and supports, causing them to decrease number and level of negative behaviors that lead a youth to be placed in Secure Detention. Though this was not an anticipated result of this service , it is extremely significant and positive.

5. What were the barriers if not met? We believe that the youth who could, and would, have been moved to Secure Detention were able to be maintained in Non-Secure Detention and then released to the community.

Therefore our Non-Secure Detention days remained relatively stable.

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below. Our Youth Assessment Team will review each youth who is at risk of placement into Detention or being placed in a Residential Facility, and those who are in placement and assess his/her service needs, should s/he remain in the community or be returned to the community. If it is determined the youth could either remain in or return to the community with the implementation of this service and there are openings in the program the youth will be referred for services.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements:
 - Wayne County Department of Social Services, in concert with Wayne County Juvenile Probation Team and the YAP Services Agency, plan to continually improve our efforts in the Family Finding program. We have shown success in finding alternate Family Connections/Supports for 4 of the 18 Youth serviced with this program.
 - We project this technique will be used successfully in finding expanded connections/supports who can be used as resources for the youth in many degrees from providing the youth with another person to speak with who knows and understands his/her family, to respite opportunities for the family/youth, to possible permanent living arrangements (when beneficial to the youth and other household members.)
 - We anticipate these efforts will lead to both decreased Detention stays as well as decreased number of youth being ordered into residential/foster care placements.
 - Wayne County Assessment Team will evaluate the children being considered for Remand, as soon as possible, and propose, when safe to do so, that YAP services be initiated immediately with the primary initial focus being to maintain the youth in his/her home or with another supportive family/community person, provide intense supervision for the youth, and ensure the youth appear in court as directed/ordered.
 - In providing this intense immediate service, we anticipate a reduction in our Non-Secure Detention Days.
 - We are projecting we will be able to reduce our average length of stay in this program from 6 months to 5 months; which will in turn allow the service to be provided to at least two additional youth.
-
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: We believe we will continue to see improved connectivity between the youth and his/her community and family.
 - We will continue to see advocacy occur for these youth for proper and appropriate educational/vocational and employment/training services. We will continue to see these youth make a positive connection to regular schooling, trade school, GED programs and employment as appropriate.

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: For the 2013 - 2014 STSJP Plan, Wayne County did not anticipate a reduction in Secure Detention Care Days with this program. We did expect to see a 10% reduction of Non-Secure Detention Care Days for the calendar year 2013.

Upon review of our 2013 data, we found that the number of days of Non-Secure Detention reduce by more than 10% (our anticipated reduction) from 489 days in care to 360 days in care; we also had a significant reduction in our Secure Detention days, with a reduction from 292 days in care for 2012 to 96 days in care for 2013.

- We believe that the use of this program was able to impact the use of Secure and Non-Secure Detention days, by quickly engaging the youth in services and supports, causing them to decrease number and level of negative behaviors that lead a youth to be placed in any Detention facility. This outcome was the result of the improved collaboration taking place between several Wayne County Offices and Community Service Providers.

- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? Some of the other positive outcomes we identified as evaluating the data for these youth, was that the YAP program was successful in assisting the family and youth with advocating for the youth's most beneficial educational placements. Several youth had their educational placements modified, after which the youth were more successful in attending school as expected.
- YAP was also able to engage several youth in obtaining supportive work, which has and will continue to lead to formal employment.
- YAP received training for Family Finding techniques, and were able to make Family Connections/Supports for FOUR of the youth involved in this program. These family connections/supports have led to the youth having an alternate living option either for short term - respite type situations, and in one case a full time living situation.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: Countywide service as appropriate.

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 144

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 18

Secure detention:	3
Non-Secure detention	15

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	8
Number of PINs placed:	6

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	18
---	----

COMMENTS
<p>Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?</p> <p>Please see above for details on our outcomes.</p>
<p>Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.</p> <p>We are going to continue with the same services being funded by STSJP, as we continually improve our referral and follow through processes.</p>

SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties (Complete this section only if this is a joint application)
<p>Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
<p>As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.</p>

Name (Please Print)	Date
---------------------	------

X

Signature

INSTRUCTIONS:
<p>Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the “Save As” function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer. b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan) c. Work from the “saved” county plan document using it to record all of your county’s information. d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan. e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document. f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at

