

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 7/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title “**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**” and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

COUNTY INFORMATION

NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION:
Onondaga County

LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION:
Onondaga Department of Children & Family Services

NAME OF CONTACT PERSON:
James C Czarniak

CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:
(315) 435-7017

CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS:
jczarniak@ongov.net

STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015

SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 2,228,299
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 199,593
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 287,107
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 486,700
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 785,000
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 486,700
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 298,300
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 1,941,192
TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:	\$ 298,300

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Onondaga County includes the City of Syracuse, which accounts for the vast majority of juveniles remanded to detention and/or youth who are residentially placed. The majority of the detention admissions, care days and placements are from youth who reside in the City of Syracuse. While much of the community analysis is the same as last year, the County has been digging deeper into the data and looked more closely at specific neighborhoods and areas of the City to determine whether localized and specific targeted geographic areas are in need of more review.

A closer review of the Syracuse City data found that a significant percentage of juvenile crime, probation intakes, referrals to the County Attorney and detention admissions came from these key zip codes: 13202, 13203, 13204, 13205, 13207 and 13224.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

STSJP Program One	Cayuga Counseling Services-Special Supervision Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 325,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Onondaga County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 250			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Two	Salvation Army--Juvenile Justice Respite- at Booth House	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 80,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Onondaga County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 80			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Three”.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? April 2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 1.5			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 21 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 70			

For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:

1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 61
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 6
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 8
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 8
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0

STSJP Program Three	Salvation Army-- Youth Tracker	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 80,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Onondaga County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 48			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? April 2013			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 12			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 75 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 72			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 38			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 4			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 8			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 2			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

STSJP Program Four	Hillside Children's Center-- JJ Foster Care Respite	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 50,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Onondaga County			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Five	TBD-- Community Diversion Program	Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	ATD
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$ 250,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Syracuse City Zip Codes 13202, 13203, 13204, 13205, 13207, 13224			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 150			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

STSJP Program Six		Type of Program (ATD/ATP)	
The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?			\$
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? Please refer to Attachment A-- Onondaga County RED Information. The County recently sent representatives from its JDAI RED Work Group to a two day training in Rochester and developed data on racial and ethnic disparity in detention for the county. The County did not have any disproportionality data in last year's plan and is working on increasing data collection protocols to capture this information at differing system points.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: Onondaga County, as a JDAI site, has convened a Racial and Ethnic Disparity Work Group comprised of community members, system representatives and providers to begin looking at the data and begin making recommendations to the Executive Committee. The initial focus will be on training all providers on RED and DMC and require them to collect data by REGGO.

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: SEE ATTACHMENT B
2. How they are family –focused: SEE ATTACHMENT B
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: SEE ATTACHMENT B
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. SEE ATTACHMENT B
5. What were the barriers if not met? SEE ATTACHMENT B

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below. While the County of Onondaga is interested in the use of STSJP funding to support programs and alternatives to both detention and placement, it was decided that the County would focus on alternative to detention programming because of the limited cap space available to reinvest in other alternative programming. The overall alternative to detention strategy was comprised by the Alternative to Detention Work Group of the JDAI and reviewed and approved by the Executive Committee. The Work Group was provided with a Purpose of Detention Statement (Attachment C) that was developed, reviewed and passed by the JDAI Executive Committee and was meant to support the Work Group in its goal to make sure the County has an adequate continuum of alternative to detention programs. The Alternative to Detention matrix (Attachment D) was generated to provide a continuum of alternatives for both Juvenile Delinquents and PINS who are at-risk or eligible for secure or non secure detention. This year the program has expanded its ATD's to include Community Based Diversion which is targeted in key zip codes in Syracuse where a significant number of youth were detained because of status offenses (PINS in non-secure) or low level JD's that were detained. In addition to this new program, the work group looked to ensure that there was a broad range of alternatives to address the common issues associated with detention: ensuring return to court, monitoring to ensure no re-arrest occurs between court appearances, parent unable/unwilling to have the youth return home, and concerns about the home from probation or child welfare that prevents the youth from being released home. The Work Group worked hard to ensure there was enough capacity in each of the programs and provided a spectrum from in-home based to alternatives out of home based programs.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: The County anticipates a 15% reduction in detention utilization (total care days) which will be accomplished through a 5% reduction in the number of admissions to detention and a 15% reduction in the length of stay.

- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: N/A

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: Onondaga County did not report any program specific performance outcomes for its 2012-2013 programs and services, only outputs for programs. The overall performance outcomes for this time period was as follows: 1) Achieve a 10% reduction in secure care days, 2) Achieve a 25% reduction in non secure care days, 3) a 10% reduction in out of home placements.

- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs?

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide:

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 627

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 236

Secure detention:	172
--------------------------	-----

Non-Secure detention	64
-----------------------------	----

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	30
---	----

Number of PINs placed:	7
------------------------	---

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	248
---	-----

COMMENTS
<p>Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?</p> <p>The proposed reduction in our plan were not achieved. Barriers included the late start of many of our programs due to lateness of funding notification and the need for programs to start up.</p>
<p>Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.</p> <p>Onondaga County invested is proposing an increased move of funds from it detention cap to STSJP. The County is also focuses specifically on ATD programs only as it has seen an increase in detention admissions the past two years</p>

SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties (Complete this section only if this is a joint application)
<p>Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
--

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Name (Please Print)	Date
---------------------	------

X	Signature
----------	-----------

INSTRUCTIONS:

- Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.
- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
 - b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
 - c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
 - d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
 - e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
 - f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

