

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)  
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 07/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title "**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**" and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

<b>COUNTY INFORMATION</b>	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Lewis County	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Lewis County DSS	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Jennifer Jones
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 315 376-5703	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: jennifer.jones@dfa.state.ny.us

<b>STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015</b>	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 39239
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	\$ 40000
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	\$ 0
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	\$ 40000
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	\$ 40,000
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	\$ 24,800
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	\$ 15,200
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	\$ 39239
<b>TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:</b>	<b>\$ 15,200</b>

### SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Lewis County Department of Social Services is applying for this funding to encompass the entirety of Lewis County. Lewis County is a small rural county with a population of just under 27,000 people; there are 5 school districts within the county. The county owns and operates the only hospital within the county. Lewis County Social Services and the Probation Department work closely and collaboratively on a number of joint interests that effect youth and adults within the community.

The Director of Social Services serves as the joint chair of the CCSI and of the local MDT both of which the Probation Director participates regularly with. Social Services also chairs an ad hoc committee on at risk youth. The ad hoc committee targets PINS Diversion and youth services for at risk families with active participation by Probation, local law enforcement and mental health clinicians. Social Services and Probation also jointly administer a local summer program for PINS and JD youth. As a small county with limited resources, community supports are developed in a shared model and DSS has established cooperative relationships with public and private partners. Lewis County remains the same as last years plan submission.

CountyData is as follows:

2008 24 JD Appearance Tickets 16 Petitions 9 PINS Petitions

2009 26 JD Appearance Tickets 8 Petitions 10 PINS Petitions

2010 19 JD Appearance Tickets 10 Petitions 6 PINS Petitions

2011 17 JD Appearance Tickets 7 Petitions 3 PINS Petitions

2012 26 JD Appearance Tickets 14 Petitions 1 PINS Petition

2013 15 JD Appearance Tickets 12 Petitions 2 PINS Petitions

Lewis County had 3 children placed in foster care that entered as JD's from 4/1/13-3/31/14 and 0 PINS placements

**SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded**

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

**“Please enter each program individually, and if you have more programs than the form accounts for, please use the addendum supplied with this document.”**

OCFS-2121 (6/2014)

<b>STSJP Program One</b>	Respite Services	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD/ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 5,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 6/30/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 5			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 10 days			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 2			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 2			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0			

<b>STSJP Program Two</b>	Mental Health Services	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD/ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 8000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 12			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 11/1/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 12			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 1 year			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 3			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 3			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 3,860			

<b>STSJP Program Three</b>	Summer Youth Program	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD/ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 12000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? All			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? not used			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: \$12,000			

<b>STSJP Program Four</b>	Parent Education	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD/ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 5,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? All			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 5			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? Not used			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 5,000			

<b>STSJP Program Five</b>	Drug Testing and Treatment	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD/ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 5,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? All			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 15			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six".</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

<b>STSJP Program Six</b>	Transportation & Emergency Goods	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	ATD/ATP
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			\$ 5,000
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? all			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 10			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 10			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 6 months			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 10			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 10			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 0			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 0			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 0			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 4,625			

**SECTION THREE – Disproportionality**

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? No data exists. Foster Care data shows no disproportionality exists.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: N/A

**SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services**

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: Lewis County has traditionally had a low number of youth in the juvenile justice system. The youth involved in the system appear to be in 2 categories; ones that can self correct and ones that can not. The ones unable to self correct have a history of CPS involvement and chronic mental health needs for themselves and for the parent/caretaker. Of the 3 children placed with DSS; 2 had received mental health services for many years and had also received intensive mental health waiver services. Parents of these children received on going intensive mental health services as well. Lewis County's proposal for the use of STSJP funds is targeted at providing a menu of service options to be individualized for each child and family and seeks to reduce the barriers families encounter in obtaining necessary treatment and services for the entire family unit, not just the affected child. Lewis County has not had any children needing detention as community based family focused intervention works for most youth.
2. How they are family –focused: Services supplied for this cohort are intended to be child centered family focused as evidenced by participants receiving the OCFS preventive model of services which incorporates case planning for the family. The services offered to families and youth are agreed upon by the family and child. Family involvement is essential to success for these youth.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: The Lewis County model is an individualized model of services and the case plan of each child and family differs based on needs. Lewis County is purchasing access to services using a preventive program model.
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. Lewis County is proposing the same program model as used in past years. Lewis County has only a few children eligible under this funding stream and they live in different geographic areas of the county making individualized planning the best service model for them. Caseworkers and Probation Officers assigned, work diligently with youth and family to adjust the behaviors displayed by youth that bring them to the attention of the justice system. The key components of purchasing necessary services have reduced the use of secure and non secure detention in Lewis County. We continue to have children placed in residential foster care services but at a reduced number than in prior years. As noted above Lewis County did not fund the Summer Program through STSJP but offered the program using FFFS monies and continues to offer this program this year. Lewis County also referred 5 children to a Subsidized Employment program with only 2 children commencing employment. Missing from the data above is that Lewis County worked with a total of 25 youth throughout the year providing child centered family focused case management that diverted all but 3 from placement of any type.
5. What were the barriers if not met? The outcomes were met for the youth referred. The barrier to using this funding is a low number of youth eligible and in need of these community based services.

**SECTION FIVE – Justification for the Proposed Programs and Services**

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the demonstrated effectiveness of the proposed services and programs, or provide (in the form) other justification of why you are

proposing these services/programs for funding. Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two.

**Respite Services-** Respite will be offered to provide youth and their families a safe location during times of unique stress or when safety concerns are noted. Lewis County intends to use certified foster boarding homes; or the family non- secure location and if necessary at a residential facility in a neighboring county. It is anticipated that 5 children will access respite allocating \$ 5,000.

**Mental Health Related Services-** A preponderance of children historically coming to the attention of the juvenile justice system have both identified and unidentified mental health disorders of varying complexity. Lewis County plans to provide evaluation and treatment for these juveniles in this program design. As this is a child centered family focused program, services will be made available to families in order for them to gain insight and understanding of the unique needs of their child and their family.

Lewis County will use this allocation to pay for sex offender/ victim evaluations from Insights Forensic Counseling a private provider with ongoing services provided either in group or individual sessions as warranted. Psychological/ Mental Health Evaluations will focus on planning for optimal youth functioning and focus casework/ probation staff on the best course for therapeutic interventions. Private licensed clinical social workers and psychologists will be used for these evaluations as well as staff social workers located at the clinic; the Behavioral Health and Wellness Center.

Lewis County also proposes to use funding from this allocation for on-going individual and family focused therapy by using the services of private and community based partners. Specifically funding will be targeted to providers that can deliver services in the family home. Lewis County also plans to fund payments for clinical staff to attend service plan and treatment planning meetings as needed. Allocation for services under the Mental Health Related Services component is expected to be \$8,000 for up to 12 youth.

**Summer Youth Program-** Lewis County Department of Social Services and Lewis County Probation run a joint summer program that has benefited many youth for many years with the target being at risk youth. College level interns are hired through the summer months using local foundation funding. These interns create individual plans for youth referred by caseworkers and probation officers for the summer months. Plans typically include community service work; summer school (if needed); mental health services and recreation. Youth are given a stipend of \$35/ week for adhering to the rules of the program and attendance in the program and any other identified service. Stipends are decreased at the discretion of the interns for poor behavior. The project is intended to target 15 youth for an allocation of \$10,000. This allocation also covers items such as transportation of the children to and from the program.

**Parent Education Program-** Lewis County proposes to spend \$5,000 on a parent education program contracted through Cornell Cooperative Extension of Lewis County. Cornell is able to provide a specific program for parenting of adolescents. This curriculum is done in the home setting and is able to educate parents on appropriated discipline strategies and age appropriate development. The target is 6 families whose children meet the criteria for STSJP.

**Transportation/ Emergency Goods-** Lewis County proposes to fund transportation expenses to eligible youth and families so that they can get to appointments, court and services as directed in their case plan. This could be done through the purchase of bus passes as well as direct reimbursement. Also in this line is emergency goods that families may need such as school supplies, food and clothing. It is expected we will fund 10 families for \$5,000.00.

**Subsidized Employment-** Lewis County is proposing funding subsidized employment for youth in the targeted

population. Lewis County DSS/ Probation are partnering with the One Stop for Employment to assist with this service. Youth will be referred by the caseworker or Probation Officer directly to the youth employment counselor. The counselor is responsible to find jobs that are in a career field the youth is interested in and is modeled after the Summer Youth Employment Model. The youth will earn a stipend for working while learning job and life skills. This program will work with 5 youth with an expected expenditure of \$7,000.

Drug Alcohol Services- Lewis County proposes to spend \$5,000 on up to 25 children on evaluation and treatment services for youth in need. This line item also covers drug testing kits to be used by staff and or parents as needed for qualified youth. Lewis County currently has one provider of drug evaluation and treatment services. Credo will provide these services.

**SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes**

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: Remain @ 3 youth in residential placement. Remain at 2 youth requiring non secure detention.
- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: Increase by 3 youth the use of subsidized employment services used by children meeting STSJP criteria.

**SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes**

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: Outcomes from the last plan were: to reduce non secure detention to 400 days. Lewis County used only 20 days of non secure detention. Residential placement was to be reduced from 3 youth to 2 youth but residential placement remained steady at 3 youth.
- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? In the last plan Lewis County identified that youth will have positive peer and community interactions in the Summer Program and through subsidized employment opportunities. Although the Summer program was funded through a different funding stream, 16 youth participated and community service was a large component. Youth engaged with residents of the local nursing home and were so well received that additional days were requested by the facility this year. The youth involved were very proud of the time they spent interacting with seniors.

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: Countywide

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 15	
TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 0	
<b>Secure detention:</b>	0
<b>Non-Secure detention</b>	2
TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:	
Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	3
Number of PINs placed:	0
TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	25

**COMMENTS**

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Lewis County significantly reduced non secure detention utilization but 3 children were ultimately placed residentially through the JD process. Barriers in Lewis County remain the geographic distance to services for many families, wait lists for mental health services and turnover in mental health providers for children.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

No change in allocation methodology; just clearly identified drug alcohol evaluation and treatment separately from mental health services.

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties  
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

**SECTION NINE– Additional Comments**

**APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Michael A. Tabolt, Chairman, Board of Legislators

7/11/14

Name (Please Print)

Date

x *Michael A Tabolt*

Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.

---

f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at [ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov)

---