

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)**  
**SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 07/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: [ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov)

Please ensure that the title "Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan" and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

[Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-486-4665

[Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov](mailto:Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov) PH. 518-408-3999

<b>COUNTY INFORMATION</b>	
NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: ESSEX COUNTY	
LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: ESSEX COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT	NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: JULIANN T. BEATTY
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: 518-873-3658	CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: <a href="mailto:jbeatty@co.essex.ny.us">jbeatty@co.essex.ny.us</a>

<b>STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015</b>	
SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount	<b>\$ 17,240.00</b>
SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount	<b>\$ 40,000.00</b>
SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted	<b>\$ 0.00</b>
Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount	<b>\$ 40,000.00</b>
Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan	<b>\$ 64,516.13</b>
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount	<b>\$ 40,000.00</b>
SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount	<b>\$ 24,516.13</b>
SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount	<b>\$ 17,240.00</b>
<b>TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION:</b>	<b>\$ 24,516.13</b>

### SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year's plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Due to the large geographic size of Essex County, 1907 square miles (150% the size of Rhode Island and 98% the size of Delaware), a population of 39,370 (2010 Census), that is very rural with no population center, youth at risk of detention or residential placement may originate from any of the eighteen towns or thirteen school districts within the County. Historical data does not indicate that there is any particular town or school district from which a significant number of youth requiring detention hail from. Without a population center like most counties experience, Essex County must be prepared to make resources and services available to youth from border to border. 2012-2014 data does note that the majority of the youths in residential placement were from the larger school districts serving Essex County youth.

### SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the name of each service and program who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the projected amount of STSJP funds to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.

- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

**“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”**

Essex County Probation Department staff: .25 FTE 4 Probation Officers each and .05 FTE 1 Probation Director supervising said Probation Officers, including salarie and fringes - \$66,069.20 (no juvenile detention services funds will be used for STSJP Services). All communities will be targeted with greater emphasis in services to the communities containing the larger school districts. Youths to be included are those charged as Juvenile Delinquents who receive Diversion services, those who have been granted supervised ACOD's from Family Court, and those on Probation. Youth alleged to be PINS with cases in Family Court and those on Probation will also be included. Enhanced services will be provided with face-to-face weekly contacts with youths, either at school or in their home. Electronic monitoring, drug testing, facilitation of social skills groups, short term anger management, cognitive behavior (Thinking for Change curriculum) and participation in Kripalu Yoga,are services that can be implemented by the Probation Officers working with the youth, individually or in groups. A mimimum of 15 youth will be served.

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

<b>STSJP Program One</b>	Essex County Probation	<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	Enhanced Services
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			<b>\$ 40,000.00</b>
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? County wide with emphasis on larger school districts			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? minimum of 15.			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Two”.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/13			
2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 14			
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 4-6 months			
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 23			
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>			
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)			
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so			
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court			
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)			
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:			

<b>STSJP Program Two</b>		<b>Type of Program (ATD/ATP)</b>	
<b>The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program?</b>			<b>\$</b>
1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target?			
2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program?			
<b>Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section “STSJP Program Three”.</b>			
1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds?			

2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds?
3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service?
4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014?
<b>For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes:</b>
1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed)
2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so
3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court
4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court)
5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent:

### **SECTION THREE – Disproportionality**

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality? There is no racial or ethnic disproportionality in either detention or residential placements.

- If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality:

### **SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services**

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

1. How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed: youth will acquire a greater sense of responsibility for their actions, demonstrate better judgement and decision making skills, school attendance will improve, youth will exhibit more appropriate behavior at home, in the community, and at school, will be exposed to positive role models and leisure time activities, and face graduated sanctions.
2. How they are family –focused: Seeing youth at home with parents allows for greater interaction for the family with the Probation Officer. It provides a child-family team of which the Probation Officer is a part.
3. Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites: With the exception of Yoga that will only be offered in certain communities, all other services can be replicated in every community
4. If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes. The plan is very similar to last year's plans. Outcome measurements were met in that: there was no detention of youth at low risk for failing to appear in Court or who did not pose a safety risk to the community (Of the two youths detained, one was a Juvenile Offender for a serious sex crime and the other was a JD on Probation who was a very high risk level per YASI); residential placements for youth scoring low or moderate risk as measure by YASI would be reduced by 25% or more (of the 4 residential placements (1 less than the previous year), all were high or very high risk as measured by YASI); no youth failed to appear in Court, and there was only 1 youth with an open case who incurred a new arrest.
5. What were the barriers if not met?

### **SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services**

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below. Contacts at school will allow for interactions with school officials and the ability to participate in meetings between the youth and school official regarding behavioral issues. Meeting with youth at home will allow the Probation Officer to view the youth's environment to determine what may or may not be lacking and what could be contributing factors to behaviors; participate with and interact with a youth and parent on a regular basis for case planning, etc. Electronic Monitoring will be utilized as a sanction; drug testing will be provided by the Probation Department in order to ensure abstinence and make treatment referrals in suitable cases; the Probation Officer will have weekly or more frequent contact with service providers, school officials, and parents regarding the youth. Facilitation of social skills groups, short term anger management, cognitive behavior (Thinking for Change curriculum) and participation in Kripalu Yoga, are services that can be implemented by the Probation Officers working with the youth, individually or in groups and are designed to address the behaviors of the types of youth who are generally the ones residentially placed.

### **SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes**

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

- An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: There will be no detention of any youth at low risk for failing to appear in Court or youth who do not pose a safety risk to the community. There will be no residential placements for youth scoring low to moderate risk as measured by

YASI. Failures to appear in Court for scheduled appearances will be zero. New arrests of juveniles with open cases will be 3 juveniles or less.

- Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs: Youth will demonstrate better judgment and improved decision making skills, their attendance, grades, and behavior will improve at school, they will exhibit more appropriate behaviors overall, and they will acquire improved social skills and coping mechanisms.

### **SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes**

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

- What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs: Between 4/1/12 and 3/31/13 there will be no detention of any youth with low or medium overall risk factors as measured by the new "to be developed" risk assessment for detention. Residential placements for youth scoring low or moderate risk as measured by YASI will be reduced by 50% or more for the period 4/1/12 through 3/31/13. Failures to appear in Court for scheduled appearances will be zero. New arrests of juveniles with open cases will decrease by at least 25% from 2011.
- Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? Some youth demonstrated better judgement and acquired improved decision making skills, school grades improved, behaved more appropriately at home, in school, and in the community, interacted well in groups, became role models for other youth, participated in positive leisure activities (hiking, rock climbing, kayaking, etc)

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide:

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: 32

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 2

<b>Secure detention:</b>	2
<b>Non-Secure detention</b>	0

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS:	3
---	---

Number of PINs placed:	1
------------------------	---

TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding:	21
---	----

**COMMENTS**

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

Our program did achieve the projected reductions.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

Due to having youth in secure detention after several years of having no youth in secure detention, we are not allocating detention funds to STSJP.

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties  
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:

**SECTION NINE– Additional Comments**

**APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

DANIEL L. PALMER

Name (Please Print)

7-18-2014

Date

X Daniel L Palmer

Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
- f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at [ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov)