

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
SFY 2014-2015 ANNUAL PLAN

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 7/11/2014

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title “**Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan**” and your county name in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either;

Johne.Johnson@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-486-4665

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

| COUNTY INFORMATION | |
|--|--|
| NAME OF APPLICANT COUNTY, COUNTIES OR JURISDICTION: Chemung County, NY | |
| LEAD AGENCY FOR STSJP SUBMISSION: Chemung Co. Department of Social Services | NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Kellie Lowman, Dir. of Children and Family Services |
| CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER: (607) 737-5402 | CONTACT PERSON'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: kellie.lowman@dfa.state.ny.us |

| STSJP SFY 2014 - 2015 | |
|---|------------------|
| SFY 2014-2015 Starting County Detention Allocation amount | \$ 469,298 |
| SFY 2014-2015 County STSJP Allocation amount | \$ 49,320 |
| SFY 2014 -2015 County Detention Allocation being shifted | \$ 77310 |
| Total SFY 2014-2015 STSJP Reimbursement Allocation amount | \$ 126630 |
| Maximum STSJP Reimbursement amount for a 2014-2015 Plan | \$ 204,241 |
| SFY 2014-2015 STSJP State Share amount | \$ 126630 |
| SFY 2014-2015 STSJP County Share amount | \$ 77,611.94 |
| SFY 2014-2015 Revised County Detention Allocation amount | \$ 344,605 |
| TOTAL COUNTY OBLIGATION: | \$ 47,383 |

SECTION ONE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Note any communities or neighborhoods that are different than in last year’s plan. Please ensure that your identification of target areas or populations is clearly highlighted in your plan.

Chemung County, NY, is located on the Pennsylvania border – in the “Southern Tier” portion of the state – and is 408 square miles in size. The county has one city (Elmira), five villages, eleven towns, three major public school districts, and a total population of 88,506. Demographically, the county’s population is 89% white, 6.7% black or African American, 1.3% Asian, and less than one percent each of American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, or other races. Fully 22% of the total population is under age 18.

The community in Chemung County that provides greatest number of juvenile delinquents and persons in need of supervision (PINS) remanded to detention or residentially placed is the City of Elmira. One-third (32.7%) of the population lives within the 7 square miles of the city of Elmira – giving the city an urban-like density of 4,028 per square mile, or one on par with major urban areas such as Detroit (5,144), Cleveland (5,107), Las Vegas (4,298), San Diego (4,020), and Dallas (3,517). Thus, Chemung County finds itself experiencing social and economic characteristics often attributed to both inner-city “urban” strife and country “rural” decay. The city of Elmira leads the county in poverty (city rate of 29.1% of the population in poverty as defined at the federal level, versus the county’s overall rate of 16.0%) and other critical issues.

While STSJP funds will be used for any juvenile in Chemung County meeting the criteria, we anticipate that most of the funds will be used on eligible youth from the city of Elmira.

SECTION TWO – Description of Services and Programs to be Funded

List the **name of each service and program** who you expect will received STSJP funds, along with the **projected amount of STSJP funds** to be used for each: As a Guide to providing the information needed to properly review your plan, please provide programmatic information in the format listed below;

- Provide the Name of the Provider of the Service/Program.
- The Amount of any Juvenile Detention Services funds projected to be spent for STSJP Services.
- The communities and types of youth targeted.
- The projected number of youth that will be served.
- Answer a series of Demographic questions

“Please enter each program individually. If you have more programs than the form allows for, please use the addendum OCFS-2121-1 which will allow you to enter more programs.”

1 A) Program and Provider: Evening Reporting/Support Center - Provider: Glove House, Inc.

1 B) Amount of STSJP Funds to Be Used: \$49,320

1 C) Communities and Types of Youth Targeted: JD diversion and any JD/PINS youth at risk of detention after petition in Chemung County

1 D) Projected Number of Youth Served: 25-30

2 A) Program and Provider: Temporary placement in a foster care/respice setting – Provider: Chemung Co. DSS

2 B) Amount of STSJP Funds to Be Used: \$0

2 C) Communities and Types of Youth Targeted: JD diversion and any JD/PINS youth at risk of detention after petition in Chemung County

2 D) Projected Number of Youth Served: 0 (but reserving this intervention within STSJP as additional funds become available)

3 A) Program and Provider: Electronic monitoring – Provider: Chemung Co. Probation Dept. and BI (contract vendor)

3 B) Amount of STSJP Funds to Be Used: \$0

3 C) Communities and Types of Youth Targeted: JD diversion and any JD/PINS youth at risk of detention after petition in Chemung County

3 D) Projected Number of Youth Served: 0 (but reserving this intervention within STSJP as additional funds become available)

OCFS-2121 (6/23/2014)

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| STSJP Program One | Evening Rreporting/Support Center | Type of Program (ATD/ATP) | |
| The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program? | | | \$ 204,241 |

| |
|--|
| 1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Chemung County, NY |
| 2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 25-30 |
| Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Two". |
| 1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? 4/1/13 |
| 2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 13 (STSJP funds = 18.4% of total; 70 total slots created for the entire program) |
| 3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? 6-8 weeks |
| 4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? 70; 56 total cases closed within the service period. |
| For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes: |
| 1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) 28 of 56 total closed (50%) |
| 2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so 2 of 56 total closed (3.6%) |
| 3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court 1 of 56 total closed (1.8%) |
| 4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) 10 of 56 total closed (17.9%) |
| 5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: 0 |

| STSJP Program Two | Temporary placement in a foster care/respite setting | Type of Program (ATD/ATP) | |
|--|--|---------------------------|------|
| The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program? | | | \$ 0 |
| 1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Chemung County, NY | | | |
| 2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 0 | | | |
| Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Three". | | | |
| 1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A | | | |
| 2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 0 | | | |
| 3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A | | | |
| 4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A | | | |
| For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes: | | | |
| 1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A | | | |
| 2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A | | | |
| 3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A | | | |
| 4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A | | | |
| 5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| STSJP Program Three | Electronic monitoring | Type of Program (ATD/ATP) | |
| The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program? | | | \$ 0 |
| 1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? Chemung Co., NY | | | |
| 2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? 0 | | | |
| Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Four". | | | |
| 1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? N/A | | | |
| 2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? 0 | | | |
| 3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? N/A | | | |
| 4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? N/A | | | |
| For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes: | | | |
| 1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) N/A | | | |
| 2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so N/A | | | |
| 3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court N/A | | | |
| 4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) N/A | | | |
| 5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: N/A | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-----|----------------------------------|----|
| STSJP Program Four | N/A | Type of Program (ATD/ATP) | |
| The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program? | | | \$ |
| 1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? | | | |
| 2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? | | | |
| Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Five". | | | |
| 1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? | | | |
| 2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? | | | |
| 3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? | | | |
| 4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? | | | |
| For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes: | | | |
| 1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) | | | |
| 2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so | | | |
| 3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court | | | |
| 4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) | | | |
| 5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: | | | |

| STSJP Program Five | N/A | Type of Program (ATD/ATP) | |
|--|-----|---------------------------|----|
| The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program? | | | \$ |
| 1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? | | | |
| 2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? | | | |
| Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to section "STSJP Program Six". | | | |
| 1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? | | | |
| 2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? | | | |
| 3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? | | | |
| 4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? | | | |
| For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes: | | | |
| 1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) | | | |
| 2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so | | | |
| 3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court | | | |
| 4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) | | | |
| 5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: | | | |

| STSJP Program Six | N/A | Type of Program (ATD/ATP) | |
|--|-----|---------------------------|----|
| The amount of STSJP funds that your jurisdiction will devote to the services from this program? | | | \$ |
| 1. What geographic areas has your analysis suggested you target? | | | |
| 2. What is your Jurisdiction's Projected Number of Youth that will be served by this STSJ Program? | | | |
| Did the program listed above receive STSJP funds for 2013-2014? If so, provide answer the questions below. If not, please proceed to Section Three. | | | |
| 1. When did the program start using 2013-2014 STSJP funds? | | | |
| 2. How many slots were created in the program with STSJP funds? | | | |
| 3. What was the average length of stay for youth in the program or service? | | | |
| 4. How many youth received services in the program during 2013-2014? | | | |
| For programs intended as alternatives to detention, how many youth in the program experienced each of these outcomes: | | | |
| 1. Successfully completed the program (not re-arrested and appeared in court as directed) | | | |
| 2. Did not appear in court when directed to do so | | | |
| 3. Were re-arrested before appearing in court | | | |
| 4. Moved to detention because of non-compliance with the program or any reason (other than re-arrest or failure to show at court) | | | |
| 5. What amount of last SFY allocation for this STSJP Service Program was left unspent: | | | |

SECTION THREE – Disproportionality

Provide available information (use objective data or, if none exists, you may provide anecdotal or other information) indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area shows a significant racial or ethnic disproportionality. What, if any, differences are there from what was noted in last year's plan? Additionally if NO data exists, what measures will your jurisdiction implement to monitor disproportionality?

Measures of disproportionality suggest that Chemung STSJP services should and will pay attention to disproportionality, and give first preference in any supervision and treatment services that will help divert youth from detention to youth in racial or ethnic minorities.

Details on other relative disproportionality include:

- In general across New York State, there is racial and ethnic disparity in the population of incarcerated adults: a black:white ratio of 9.4:1, and a Hispanic:white ration of 4.5:1. (Source: "NYS Corrections Information – Racial/Ethnic Disparity in New York State," from www.sentencingproject.org as accessed July 2014)
- Racial disparity seems to exist in the Chemung County youths aged 10 to 18 in detention. A comparison of Census figures with local program demographics shows that while only 6.7% of overall Chemung county residents are black and 2.8% are Hispanic, of the youths in detention, 35% were black and 5% were Hispanic. On the positive side, this represents a respective 5% and 4% reduction in disparity percentages from the previous year - but is still a disparity worth addressing.

If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/programs proposed for funding will address the disproportionality: In keeping with improving disparity in services, the following shall be part of the policies and admission requirements of any service proposed for use with STSP funds:

"Admission to [the program] will be based on youth with the highest need determined by the Intake/Referral Committee. Special consideration will be given to at-risk racial and ethnic minority populations."

SECTION FOUR – Efficacy of the Programs and Services

Provide a description of the proposed services and programs that explain the four listed elements

Please answer the questions below for each of the programs highlighted in Section Two

How they will reduce the number of youth who are detained or residentially placed:

STSJP Program 1. Evening Reporting/Support Center (a program of Glove House, Inc.)

Brief Description: The program will operate from 3 p.m. – 8:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 9 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. on holidays and school vacations. Saturday programming also exists on an incentive basis and relates to performance in school, at home, and in this program. Length of stay in the program for youth will be determined by the needs of the youth and family with continuation of family support or referral plans made thereafter.

Services to Reduce Number of Youth Detained or Residentially Placed: Evening reporting centers are services that are intended to fill the afternoon, evening, and weekend hours of participating youth with constructive activity and supervision. Services, activities, or programming for the Evening Reporting/Support Center includes transportation to and from the program site; tutoring assistance; dinner, clean-up and chore regimens; a psycho-educational group (topics include conflict resolution, substance abuse, AIDS/HIV/STD prevention, building employment skills, life skills, career technology, gang awareness, bullying awareness and prevention, team building, and more).

STSJP Program 2. Temporary placement in a foster care/respice setting (a program coordinated by the Chemung Co. DSS)

Brief Description: As a safe, non-detention option for certain youth, placement in special foster care or respice settings can serve as an alternative to detention, while keeping the youth in or close to the home community to maintain continuity with their home school, build contacts with existing services, appointments, court or monitoring dates.

Services to Reduce Number of Youth Detained or Residentially Placed: See above. This option is particularly favorable for youth whose homes are deemed not to be safe, or for at-risk youth who themselves should not be cared for in homes with other children.

STSJP Program 3. Electronic Monitoring (a program coordinated by the Chemung County Probation Department)

Brief Description: Electronic monitoring is provided by a device that provides a higher level of supervision that would allow a child to remain safely in the community. This is a successful and recommended service used to help track a youth's adherence to curfews, location restrictions, or other set conditions of release.

1.

How they are family –focused:

STSJP Program 1. Evening Reporting/Support Center: Families are involved in the intake, and in progress reporting (including incentive awards based on family-reported improvements at home.

STSJP Program 2. Temporary placement in a foster care/respice setting: Considerations for the safe placement of children will include an assessment of all family or household members.

STSJP Program 3. Electronic monitoring: Electronic monitoring can help keep certain youth in the home when monitoring, rather than separation, is the key goal.

Whether the services/programs are capable of being replicated across multiple sites:

STSJP Program 1. Evening Reporting/Support Center: Yes.

STSJP Program 2. Temporary placement in a foster care/respice setting: Yes.

2. STSJP Program 3. Electronic monitoring: Yes.

If the same plan was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes.

Outcome A. Chemung County will realize a 15% annual reduction in both the number of total youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention. (Baseline: 2011 admission data. Baseline figure: 124 youth. 15% Reduction Target: 105 youth.)

2011 number of youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention: 124

2012 number of youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention: 67 (58 in non-secure, 9 in secure)

2013 number of youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention: 96 (76 in non-secure, 20 in

secure)

Outcome B. Chemung County will realize a 15% annual reduction in the number of total detention care days. (Baseline: a three-year average, 2007-10. Baseline figure: 1,279 days. 15% Reduction Target: 1,087 days.)

2011 total detention care days: 1,178 (a 7.9% reduction from baseline)

2012 total detention care days: 1,085 (a 15.2% reduction from baseline)

2013 total detention care days: 1,343 (293 secure care days, 1050 non-secure care days)

Other Projected Positive Outcomes:

- 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will demonstrate an improvement in school performance and behavior, as reported by the school district.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 83.8% (up from 78% the previous year)

Outcome Results, 4/1/13 - 3/31/14: 89.5% (up from 2012-3) - ACHIEVED

- 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will show a reduction or no further criminal activity, as reported by law enforcement, court, or probation reports.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 92.0% (up from 78% the previous year)

Outcome Results 4/1/13 - 3/31/14: 82.5% (decrease from 2012-3) - NOT ACHIEVED (see barriers, below)

- 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will engage in additional recommended services or wrap-around supports, as applicable, to further reduce negative behaviors or increase positive ones.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 97.5% (up from 75% the previous year)

Outcome Results, 4/1/13 - 3/31/14: 93.3% (decrease from 2012-3) - ACHIEVED

- 85% of youth will identify a natural support system.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 84.3% (up from 61% the previous year)

Outcome Results, 4/1/13-3/31/14: 88.8% (up from 2012-3 - ACHIEVED)

3. What were the barriers if not met? Barriers included:

- * Lack of school enforcement of behavioral plans completed with youth and families. (Attempted solution: 2 agency staff now assigned to help with in-school assistance.)
- * Contact with / engaging parents. (Attempted solution: Parent-teen workshops; last one tried had 7 participants)
- * Difficulty with parents not scheduling intake appointments as directed by Probation.
- * Aftercare or after-discharge support.
- * "The number of youth being violated for terms of their probation and being sent to detention for the short-term is a concern. Then they return to court and [are] court-ordered back into our program; at best this makes [our] success rate seem much lower."

SECTION FIVE – Overall Strategy and Justification for the Proposed Programs Services

The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residentially placed. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your county's collaborative to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two. Please discuss in the section below.

The purpose of developing a series of services available for JD diversion and any JD/PINS youth at risk of detention after petition in Chemung County is to reduce placements in juvenile detention while also directing less intensive, restrictive, or punitive services to at-risk youth who stand to benefit more from supervision and treatment than from separation and placement.

Chemung County has chosen three services/programs to include as options for eligible at-risk youth prior to or in lieu of detention placement. The Evening Reporting/Support Center is a program previously funded through the Prevention of Detention allocation, and therefore is excluded from the requirement that STSJP funds will not supplant other funds. The other two services/programs included in our plan options have been chosen from OCFS-provided alternative to detention model program descriptions as being most applicable to local conditions and with best chance of local success.

While anticipated funding in the project period is reserved at present for just one of these services, we anticipate using the others as appropriate and if needed.

1. Evening Reporting/Support Center (Provider: Glove House, Inc.; amount: \$49,320)
2. Temporary placement in a foster care/respite setting (Provider: Chemung Co. DSS; amount: \$0 (but reserving this intervention within STSJP as additional funds become available))
3. Electronic monitoring (Providers: Chemung Co. Probation Dept., and BI - a contract vendor; amount: \$0 (but reserving this intervention within STSJP as additional funds become available))

Note: This plan defines the type of services that Chemung intends to include in its STSJP plan. During the initial period in question, the county anticipates using only one, but intends to use others in subsequent periods or with the addition of new funds or new circumstances, for which the County will submit a plan change.

SECTION SIX – Performance Outcomes

For 2014-2015, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include:

An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements: C

* Chemung County will realize a 15% annual reduction in both the number of total youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention. (Baseline: 2011 admission data. Baseline figure: 124 youth. 15% Reduction Target: 105 youth.)

* Chemung County will realize a 15% annual reduction in the number of total detention care days. (Baseline: a three-year average, 2007-10. Baseline figure: 1,279 days. 15% Reduction Target: 1,087 days.)

Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs:

* 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will demonstrate an improvement in school performance and behavior, as reported by the school district

• 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will show a reduction or no further criminal activity, as reported by law enforcement, court, or probation reports

• 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will engage in additional recommended services or wrap-around

supports, as applicable, to further reduce negative behaviors or increase positive ones.

- 85% of youth will identify a natural support system

SECTION SEVEN – Assessment of Success Achieving Previous Performance Outcomes

Although performance outcome data for 2013-2014 may be incomplete because many jurisdictions were unable to implement programs until late in the year and data-producing structures are not yet in place, we are asking you to provide available data on your STSJP programs for each of the following parameters for 2013-2014 year. The inclusion of that information will help establish local and state baseline information on SSJP programs and may be useful in informing discussions about potential improvements to be made in your STSJP Plan.

What were your projected performance outcomes in your 2012-2013 STSJP Plan for your proposed services and programs:

Outcome A. Chemung County will realize a 15% annual reduction in both the number of total youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention. (Baseline: 2011 admission data. Baseline figure: 124 youth. 15% Reduction Target: 105 youth.)

2011 number of youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention: 124

2012 number of youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention: 67 (58 in non-secure, 9 in secure)

2013 number of youth admitted to secure, nonsecure, and mixed detention: 96 (76 in non-secure, 20 in secure)

Outcome B. Chemung County will realize a 15% annual reduction in the number of total detention care days. (Baseline: a three-year average, 2007-10. Baseline figure: 1,279 days. 15% Reduction Target: 1,087 days.)

2011 total detention care days: 1,178 (a 7.9% reduction from baseline)

2012 total detention care days: 1,085 (a 15.2% reduction from baseline)

2013 total detention care days: 1,343 (293 secure care days, 1050 non-secure care days)

Were there other positive outcomes for youth participating in STSJP services and programs? b. Other Projected Positive Outcomes:

- 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will demonstrate an improvement in school performance and behavior, as reported by the school district.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 83.8% (up from 78% the previous year)

Outcome Results, 4/1/13 - 3/31/14: 89.5% (up from 2012-3) - ACHIEVED

- 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will show a reduction or no further criminal activity, as reported by law enforcement, court, or probation reports.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 92.0% (up from 78% the previous year)

Outcome Results 4/1/13 - 3/31/14: 82.5% (decrease from 2012-3) - NOT ACHIEVED (see barriers, below)

- 85% of youth receiving STSJP services will engage in additional recommended services or wrap-

around supports, as applicable, to further reduce negative behaviors or increase positive ones.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 97.5% (up from 75% the previous year)

Outcome Results, 4/1/13 - 3/31/14: 93.3% (decrease from 2012-3) - ACHIEVED

- 85% of youth will identify a natural support system.

Outcome Results, 4/1/12-3/31/13: 84.3% (up from 61% the previous year)

- Outcome Results, 4/1/13-3/31/14: 88.8% (up from 2012-3 - ACHIEVED)

Please provide the following information for your county or the jurisdiction served by your STSJP programs for 2013-2014, indicating if the geographic area is anything other than countywide: (Countywide)

TTL number of youth under 16 arrested: Not available at this time

TTL number of youth admitted to detention programs: 96

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| Secure detention: | 20 |
|--------------------------|----|

| | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| Non-Secure detention | 76 |
|-----------------------------|----|

TTL Number of youth placed out of their home as part of a disposition in a JD and/or PINs case:

| | |
|---|----|
| Number of JDs placed with OCFS or LDSS: | 19 |
|---|----|

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Number of PINs placed: | 4 |
|------------------------|---|

| | |
|---|----|
| TTL Number of youth who received service and programs as a result of STSJP funding: | 70 |
|---|----|

COMMENTS

Please assess whether the services and programs in your 2013-2014 STSJP Plan achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and residential placements and other performance outcomes. If they did not, what were the barriers?

DSS, CIS, Probation, Mental Health and Youth Bureau met to review and discuss 2013-2014 outcomes and progress on 7/9/14. Overall the group was pleased with progress compared to the previous 2012-2013 year.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for 2014-2015 based on experiences in 2013-2014? Please list those changes.

None noted when the group met on 7/9/14

**SECTION EIGHT – Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties
(Complete this section only if this is a joint application)**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate, and maintain supervision and treatment services for juveniles programs and may make and perform agreements in connection therewith . Counties submitting such applications must provide the following information:

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county:
N/A

- Describe the manner of employment of personnel across and between counties in the cooperative:
N/A

- Identify whether a single fiscal officer shall be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP:
N/A

SECTION NINE– Additional Comments

The administrative burden for the application and reporting requirements is high given the amount of funding received. The funding does not begin to cover the entire program. We would like you to consider easing the administrative burden for future funding cycles.

APPROVAL OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

As Chief Executive Officer of the applicant municipality named on Page 1, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program Plan.

Thomas Santulli

Name (Please Print) _____
Date

X

Signature

INSTRUCTIONS:

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Somewhere County 2014-2015 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" county plan document using it to record all of your county's information.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document, print the plan.
- e. Then have the person named in the plan as the CEO sign the hard copy of the document.
- f. Upload the signed copy of the plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at

