



Office of Children and Family Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE
Acting Commissioner

August 27, 2015

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting your Ulster County's Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016. Your plan has been reviewed by the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) and I am pleased to inform you that your county's STSJP plan has been **approved**.

Ulster County is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your municipality will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. Ulster County may make an initial detention allocation shift or increase the amount of the detention allocation shift until December 31, 2015. If Ulster County plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Cara Korn and email it to stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov outlining the amount that will be shifted and the type of programming or services the re-purpose detention funds will be used for under STSJP. An amended STSJP plan will also need to be submitted, if Ulster County shifts its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses.

All STSJP claims must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016. Questions on all aspects of claiming process should be directed to Daniel Hulihan at (518) 473-4511 or at Daniel.Hulihan@ocfs.ny.gov.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their family through innovating alternative to placement and detention programs.

If you have any questions, please email us at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov and write "STSJP Plan Questions" in the subject line so that we may best assist you in a timely manner. You can direct all STSJP inquiries to Cara Korn at (518) 408-3999 or Cara.Korn@ocfs.ny.gov and Shawn Chin-Chance at (212) 961-4110 or Shawn.Chin-Chance@ocfs.ny.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Mancini".

Joseph Mancini
Associate Commissioner
DJJOY Office of Community Partnerships

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2016 ANNUAL PLAN**

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 6/29/2015

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title "Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan" and your municipality name are in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan. Note: Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 replaces the term State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2015-16 and FY 2015 replaces the term SFY 2014-15.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either:

Shawn.Chin-Chance@ocfs.ny.gov PH. 212-961-4110

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

| SECTION ONE- Municipal Information | |
|---|--|
| NAME OF MUNICIPALITY: Ulster County | |
| STSJP LEAD AGENCY: Ulster County Department of Social Services | STSJP LEAD PERSON: Michael Iapoco, Commissioner |
| STSJP LEAD PHONE NUMBER: 845-334-5221 | STSJP LEAD E-MAIL: miap@co.ulster.ny.us |

| SECTION TWO – List of Programs and Services to be Funded | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| In this section, list the exact name of each program who have received STSJP funds, along with the projected amount of STSJP funds to be used for each. If this is a rollover program, please answer questions that are relevant to the funded program: | | | |
| Program One-Name | Ulster County Youth Advocate Program | Type of Program | ATD/ATP |
| Total Program Expenses | \$ 80,200 | Rollover Funded Program | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets: | 12401 | 12428 | |
| | 12561 | 12477 | |
| | 12589 | 12480 | |
| | 12525 | 12568 | |
| 2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement? The Youth Advocate Program will reduce the number of youth who are detained or placed in residential treatment by providing individuals who are subject to compulsory care with the opportunity to develop, contribute and be valued as assets so that communities have safe, proven-effective and economical alternatives to placement. The Youth Advocate Program provides unconditional individualized service planning that focuses on strengths and incorporates achievable goals to support and foster those strengths. Adult mentors are provided to at-risk youth to enhance their participation in school, pro-social activities and improved family relationships. | | | |
| 3. How will the program be family focused? The Youth Advocate Program provides youth and their families with intensive support in their homes, school and community locations through our wrap-around advocacy model of service. YAP staff meet with youth and families multiple times per week at times most needed by the family, with an emphasis on safety and support. Individualized service plans balance involuntary service demands with activities driven by the family's prioritized wants and needs. Activities with each youth and family vary, but are goal driven and typically include case management, crisis intervention services, availability 24/7, skill development, educational and vocational advocacy, and supported work opportunities. | | | |
| 4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations? Yes, the Youth Advocate Program operates services both nationally and internationally. Advocates are hired from all areas of the county, to be accessible to the families' needs. | | | |

5. What is the projected number of youth that are served by this STSJP funded program?
 The projected number of youth to be served by this funding is 8 youth.

6. If program is being used as an Alternative to Detention (ATD) and an Alternative to Placement (ATP), how will it serve both populations of youth?
 N/A

7. If the program was used during FY 2015, please assess whether the service or program achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and/or residential placements and other performance outcomes.
 In 2014-2015 the Youth Advocate Program avoided detention and residential placement of 100% of youth served.

8. What were the barriers if not met? N/A

Did the program receive STSJP funds for FY 2015? Yes No If Yes, answer the questions below:

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 4/1/14

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? 8 months

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? 9

| | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---|
| Program Two -Name | Family House Respite in Lieu of Detention | Type of Program | ATD |
| Total Program Expenses | \$ 25,000 | Rollover Funded Program | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets: | 12401 | 12428 | |
| | 12477 | 12528 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement?
 Family House will provide a safe, structured and supervised environment for adolescents, to be used as a space for respite in order to avoid remands to detention or placement in a residential facility. Family House staff will, in addition, provide the following services to youth in their care: individual, group and family counseling, life skills training, access and advocacy for educational, medical, legal, mental health and substance abuse services, services for youth involved in the juvenile justice/Family Court system, and after-care services.

3. How will the program be family focused?
 Family House staff will provide family mediation on neutral ground in order to facilitate reunification of the adolescent with his/her family. Family House case managers will work with the adolescent, the family, the family's natural supports and service providers to facilitate a safe transition back into the home environment.

4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations?
 Family House is located in Rosendale, NY, but is accessible to all Ulster County adolescents. Staff often facilitate transportation to Family House if parents are unable to do so.

5. If the program was used during FY 2015, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes?
 9 youth who entered Family House to avoid detention or residential placement were discharged to the care of their parent/guardian. One youth transitioned into Family of Woodstock's transitional living program, Midway.

6. What were the barriers if not met?
 N/A

7. If program was used as an ATD and an ATP, how was it used to serve both populations of youth?
 N/A

8. What is the projected number of youth that will be served by this STSJP – funded program? 10

Did the program receive STSJP funds for FY 2015? Yes No If Yes, answer the questions below:

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 4/1/14

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? 9.6 days

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? 10

| Program Three -Name | Family of Woodstock STSJP case manager | Type of Program | ATP |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|
| Total Program Expenses | \$ 57,600 | Rollover Funded Program | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets: | 12401 | 12477 | |
| | 12561 | 12498 | |
| | 12428 | 12525 | |
| | 12528 | 12589 | |
| | | | |

2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement?
 The program will provide case management services to ensure that gaps in service needs are addressed and client strengths are reinforced. Case manager will assist with: crisis intervention, family mediation, advocacy and referral for entitlements and access to available services, assistance to ensure that youth attend and perform well in their school setting, like skills and worker readiness training, anger management skill building, supported employment, advocacy in connecting to health and mental health services, substance abuse prevention utilizing the evidence-based Teen Intervene model, advocacy throughout the Family Court process, connection to pro-social supports and healthy recreational activities, and assistance with transportation to access all required services and programming.

3. How will the program be family focused?

The program will assist parents/guardians in creating healthy, realistic rules, goals and boundaries with their children. The program will also assist parent's guardians to access natural supports as well as those provided by other formal services. The program will ensure that parents are monitoring appropriate curfew and supervision of after-school and evening hours either in person by home visits or on the telephone

4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations?

This program serves families in all areas of the county.

5. If the program was used during FY 2015, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes?

6 youth were provided with support to continue an educational goal; 6 youth increased their worker readiness skills through building resumes, applying for jobs, participating in mock interviews, and gaining employment experience; 4 youth increased positive participation within their families after working with a STSJP case manager; 8 youth were provided with pro-social activities; 8 youth complied with probation requirements; 7 youth received mental health services; 1 youth received substance abuse treatment; 5 youth participated in anger management groups or individual sessions. All youth remained in the custody of their parent/gaurdian and 0 youth went into detention and/or residential placement.

6. What were the barriers if not met?

N/A

7. If program was used as an ATD and an ATP, how was it used to serve both populations of youth?

N/A

8. What is the projected number of youth that will be served by this STSJP – funded program? 15

Did the program receive STSJP funds for FY 2015? Yes No If Yes, answer the questions below:

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 4/1/14

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? 190 days

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? 13 youth

NEW YORK STATE
 OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES
FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2016 ANNUAL PLAN - ADDENDUM

| | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Program Four-Name | MultiSystemic Therapy Program | Type of Program | ATD/ATP |
| Total Program Expenses | \$ 86,917 | Rollover Funded Program | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets: | | 12401 | 12426 |
| | | 12589 | 12477 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement? | | | |
| <p>MultiSystemic Therapy will provide intensive, home-based services to 10-12 families whose children are assessed as being at "high risk" at Probation Intake on the Youth Assessment Screening Instrument. Ulster County's MST Team, operated by MultiCounty Community Development Corporation, co-located within Ulster DSS' Coordinated Children's Services continues to be a highly effective provider of this evidence-based model of treatment for families with anti-social youth. Ulster County's team, again for the third time, won the Sustained Excellence award for 2014, which is given to only the top 1% of all 500 MST teams nationally and internationally. High adherence scores are directly correlated to positive outcomes for youth.</p> | | | |
| 3. How will the program be family focused? | | | |
| <p>The core principle of MultiSystemic therapy is that services are family-driven and focused on desired outcomes which are identified by families with their MST clinician. Interventions are developed and revised in conjunction with family members throughout this intensive intervention, in which therapists are available 24/7 to support families in implementing techniques with children and identified natural and formal supports.</p> | | | |
| 4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations? | | | |
| <p>MST clinicians cover the entire county with priority being given to areas from which the highest risk youth are located: Kingston, Ellenville, Saugerties and Walkkill.</p> | | | |
| 5. If the program was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes? | | | |
| <p>Of the 15 youth served during this STSJP year, 11 completed the program with the following outcomes: One youth required placement 86.7% remained living at home 93% remained in school/working 100% of youth had no new arrests 81% of families reported parenting skills necessary to handle future problems</p> | | | |
| 6. What were the barriers if not met? | | | |
| <p>One family was unable to maintain their child at home and he required placement in residential treatment due to his emotional condition.</p> | | | |

7. If program was used as an ATD and an ATP, how was It used to serve both populations of youth?
 Children rated high risk are referred at Intake at Probation or when initial petitions or violations of probation are filed or arraigned which could lead to a recommendation for detention. Cases have been referred directly from the courtroom as well as at other points throughout the juvenile justice process.

8. What is the projected number of youth that will be served by this STSJP – funded program? 10-12

Did the program receive STSJP funds FY 2015? Yes No If Yes, answer the questions below:

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 4/1/14

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? 130.55 days

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? 15

| | |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Program Five-Name | Type of Program |
|-------------------|-----------------|

| | | | |
|------------------------|----|-------------------------|--|
| Total Program Expenses | \$ | Rollover Funded Program | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
|------------------------|----|-------------------------|--|

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| 1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement?

3. How will the program be family focused?

4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations?

5. If the program was used last SFY, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes?

SECTION THREE - Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents, juvenile offenders and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Are these the communities and neighborhoods served in the previous years' approved plan, if not, what has changed?

Ulster County's STSJP programs will continue to target the communities of Kingston, Ellenville, Saugerties and Walkkill where the majority of our high-risk youth are found. With such a small sample of youth requiring remands to detention because of our continuum of alternative services, we base this decision on those communities from which the greatest numbers youth come into care on PINS and JDs. Kingston and Ellenville remain the major source of families of youth who enter placement despite provision of preventive services.

Ulster County, located in the mid-Hudson Valley, had a population of 181,791 in the 2010 census; Kingston is the major population center. There has been little public housing developed for low-income families outside of Kingston, due to Ulster County being a home-rule county until 2013, with local communities held financially responsible for TA funding so that smaller communities thus resisted development of public housing for families. The cost of housing in Ulster is the ninth highest of the counties in New York state, while the average salary per job in this county is the 31st lowest. According to the US census 2008-2010, 42% of owners with mortgages, 24% of owners without mortgages and 53% of renters in Ulster were housing-burdened, spending more than 30% of household income on housing. Other relevant indicators are: an unemployment rate of 8.8% (NYS Labor Dept), 17.8% of children living below poverty level and 36.4% eligible for free lunch, per NYS Kid's Wellbeing Indicators Clearinghouse (KWIC). The lack of living-wage jobs and the high cost of living has contributed to parents holding several jobs and often leaving children on their own to get to school and unsupervised after school when most delinquent activity occurs.

Ulster County has one of the highest rates of youth engaged in substance abuse as our proximity to the NY State Thruway brings drugs flowing into our community from NYC. Unsupervised youth often migrate to gang involvement as lack of affiliation to family arises from the absence of parents in the home. The following analysis provides evidence that the substance abuse and other risk indicators in Ulster County are generally higher than comparable locations. Use of alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) by Ulster County youth is in most instances greater than the state or federal average. According to the NYS Youth Development survey conducted in Fall 2012, more Ulster youth in 10th grade (33.8%) and 12th (51.0%) grade reported using alcohol in the last 30 days than youth across the nation (27.2% and 40.0%, respectively). In addition, more Ulster 10th (22.0%) and 12th (34.3%) graders also reported using marijuana in the last 30 days than youth across the nation (17.6% and 22.6%, respectively). More Ulster 10th and 12th graders reported using marijuana in the last 30 days in 2012 (22.0%, 34.3%, respectively) than 2010 (19.9%, 29.9%, respectively). Further, 15.5% of Ulster 7-12th graders reported binge drinking, i.e., having five or more drinks in a row within the past two weeks. The survey also asked youth to report on antisocial behavior over the past 12 months, 8.9% of Ulster 7-12th graders reported being suspended from school, 10.1% reported being drunk or high at school, and 4.9% reported selling illegal drugs.

In addition to substance abuse statistics, numerous other risk indicators for Ulster County youth exceed the state or federal average. The following data illustrates the issues in Ulster as compared to NYS, excluding NYC. In 2011 there were significantly more youth arrests for driving while intoxicated in Ulster (89.1 per 10,000 youth 16-21 vs. 47.0); more youth drug arrests for use possession and sale (79.0 per 10,000 youth 16-21 vs 77.9); more youth arrested for property crimes (187.0 per 10,000 youth 16-21 vs 175.1); a higher adolescent pregnancy rate (37.0 per 1,000 females 15-19 in 2010 vs 35.4); a higher percentage of high school students who dropped out (2.1% vs 1.7%); fewer received Regents diplomas (88.2% vs 89.5%); fewer graduating seniors plan to attend college (85.0% vs 87.8%); fewer 4th and 8th graders scored at or above Level 3 (meeting learning standards) in English Language Arts (51.5% and 41.4% vs 59.9% and 53.5%, respectively) and Mathematics (64.3% and 50.1% vs 69.0% and 63.8%, respectively); higher rate of children/youth in indicated reports of abuse/maltreatment (20.1 per 1,000 youth ages 0-17 vs 15.6); and, more children/youth were in foster care (3.6 per 1,000 youth ages birth-21 vs 2.3) (NYS KWIC, June 2013).

Two major concerns expressed by local school officials are the dropout rate and failure of youth to complete high school in 4 years. Recently, the NYS Education Department downgraded the Kingston High School's accountability status under the federal No Child Left Behind Act from "second-year restructuring" to "advanced comprehensive" restructuring, and labeled the school a Focus School. This action was taken as a result of unacceptably low graduation rates, particularly among minority students, that have not significantly improved over the last five years, and conversely, showed a decrease last year. The numbers are daunting. In the 2010-11 school year, the percentage of Kingston students who graduated within four years of starting high school by ethnicity were: White (79%); Black (45%); Hispanic (55%); and Asian (90%). (Source: NYS Departments of Education, Labor). The overall four-year graduation rate dropped from 73.5% in 2010-11 to 71.4% in 2011-12.

Kingston High School currently has the lowest graduation rate (71.4%) in Ulster County, and the statistics for Kingston High School illustrate issues more severe than the neighboring communities of Newburgh and Poughkeepsie. When one considers the severe negative impact on lifetime earnings capacity on those who do not complete high school and additional vocational training or college, it is understandable that local leaders consider that "improving educational attainment is critical to breaking the cycle of poverty in Kingston." (Daily Freeman, November 13, 2011. The Ellenville graduation rate was less than 2% higher than Kingston at 73%.

SECTION FOUR – Disparity

In this section, please provide information indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area exhibits a significant racial or ethnic disparity or disproportionality. Please note that when looking for disparity, highlight, with the use of accurate data, youth who given comparable levels of need, do not receive equal utilization of services. Seek out all decision points to illustrate usage. When looking for disproportionality, identify any population groups who are underrepresented in a larger population and then overrepresented in a subset population. For example, population group A represents 15 percent of the general population but represents 75 percent of the detention population. If you currently do not measure these variables, please include your plan for data collection for Racial and Ethnic Disparities across your system. If no disparities or disproportionalities exist in your system simply state that in the space below.

According to the 2010 census, Ulster County youth ages 10-17 are comprised of 73.3% white, 5.9% black, 11.7% Hispanic/Latino and Asians comprise 1.5%. Despite our efforts to target services to minority youth, Ulster County's placements continue to reflect that a disproportionate number of minority youth are entering congregate care settings:

Detention: We had minimal use of detention in 2014, with a total of 6 youth remanded to detention beds, 56 days in Non-Secure and 50 days in Secure, for a total of 106 days. Of these 6 youth 50% were white (3) and 50% were black (2) or bi-racial (1).

OCFS: Ulster County had a significant increase in placements into OCFS in 2014, of the 8 youth placed, only one was white (12.5%), while 87.5% were black (4) or bi-racial (3).

DSS placements: of 182 children placed by Ulster DSS in 2014, 50.5% were white, 25.3% were black, 6.6% were Hispanic/Latino, 6% were Asian and 10.9% were unknown. Again, these figures reflect that a disproportionate number of children of color are entering care, as minorities make up only 19% of our youth population, but comprise 48.8% of children entering care.

If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/program proposed for funding will address this disparity.

We will continue to prioritize minority youth for STSJP services when a vacancy occurs. In addition, we will maintain efforts to recruit minority staff and provide cultural sensitivity training to all staff to enhance engagement efforts in all programs.

SECTION FIVE – Strategy

Justification and Overall Strategy – The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residential placement. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your collaborative partners (list your collaborative partners) to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two.

Ulster County has always been a highly collaborative community of service providers. The Departments of Social Service, Youth Bureau, Probation and Mental Health work closely with our County Attorney, Attorneys for the Child, Family Court Judges and Clerk of the Court to forego use of detention wherever possible. In addition, we have a number of highly effective placement prevention programs, both public and private, which includes Families Now at the YWCA, Coordinated Children's Services in DSS, MST, Family House and Family of Woodstock case management, Youth Advocate Program and SPOA services such as Home & Community Based Waiver, ICM and SCM. Representatives of these agencies meet weekly to review all cases where placement is being considered to identify and prioritize intensive services as alternatives to placement. The programs provided through STSJP funding serve to enhance the options available to families whose youth would otherwise enter residential placement, often through the detention pipeline.

SECTION SIX – Outcomes

Performance Outcomes – For FY 2016, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include: An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements.

For 2016, we will attempt to divert most of the children served through our Juvenile Justice/Child Welfare programs from entering detention and residential placements. The identified services funded by STSJP have proven to highly effective in this regard, with a very small fraction of youth served requiring out-of-home placements. This is accomplished by assisting at-risk youth in attaining the following goals:

1. 80% of youth served will avoid re-arrest
2. 80% of youth served will remain in school, or if beyond compulsory attendance age, maintain employment.
3. 80% of families with at-risk youth served will report improved overall family functioning.
4. 75% of youth served will be involved in pro-social activities in the community.

Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs:
Youth will reduce self-destructive behavior and avoid need for inpatient mental health or substance abuse treatment.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for FY 2016 based on experiences in FY 2015, please list those changes:
Reduction in allocation for youth Advocacy Program as there were unexpended funds in that program.

SECTION SEVEN- Comments
N/A

SECTION EIGHT- Plan Amounts

Instructions:

- A. Enter all program expenses in Program Services tab.
- B. Specify State Reimbursements for this plan (lines 6-9)

Expenses

| | | |
|---|-----------|---------|
| 1. Program Expenses (from Program Services) | \$249,717 | |
| 2. State Reimbursement (Line 1* 0.62) | | 154,824 |

Available Reimbursements

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 3. STSJP Allocation | \$ 40,000 |
| 4. Detention Allocation | \$173,909 |
| 5. JDAI | 0 |

Reimbursements for this Plan

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6. STSJP Allocation | \$ 40,000 |
| 7. Detention Allocation being shifted to STSJP (if applicable) | \$ 114,824 |
| 8. JDAI (if applicable) | 0 |
| 9. FY 2015 Rollover (if applicable) | 0 |
| 10. Total Reimbursements (Lines 6-9) | \$ 154,824 |

State and Local Totals

| | |
|---|------------|
| 11. State Share Amount (Line 10) | \$ 154,824 |
| 12. Local Share Amount (Subtract Line 11 from 10) | \$ 94,893 |

SECTION NINE- Approval

Approval of the Chief Executive Officer

As STSJP Lead for Ulster County Municipality, I certify that the CEO
 Michael Hein, County Executive has reviewed and approved the 2015-2016 plan.

Date: 6/25/15 STSJP Lead [Signature] STSJP Lead Michael Iapoco, DSS Commissioner
 User ID: [Signature] Printed Name:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Municipality Name 2015-2016 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" plan document using it to record all of your municipality's information. Please use the document OCFS- 2121A to document additional STSJP programs.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document.
- e. Section Nine must be completed prior to OCFS review of STSJP Plan.
- f. Upload completed plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Approval of the OCFS STSJP Program Lead

As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles
 Program Plan for Ulster County Municipality and 2015-2016 fiscal year.

Date: 8/27/15 User ID: 90a417 Printed Name: Cara Kern