



Office of Children and Family Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE
Acting Commissioner

August 6, 2015

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting Dutchess Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016. Your plan has been reviewed by the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) and I am pleased to inform you that your county's STSJP plan has been **approved**.

Dutchess is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your Dutchess will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. Dutchess may make an initial detention allocation shift or increase the amount of the detention allocation shift until December 31, 2015. If Dutchess plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Cara Korn and email it to stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov outlining the amount that will be shifted and the type of programming or services the re-purpose detention funds will be used for under STSJP. An amend STSJP plan will also need to be submitted, if (Dutchess) shifts its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses

All STSJP claims must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016. Questions on all aspects of claiming process should be directed to Daniel Hulihan at (518) 473-4511 or at Daniel.Hulihan@ocfs.ny.gov.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their family through innovating alternative to placement and detention programs.

If you have any questions, please email us at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov and write "STSJP Plan Questions" in the subject line so that we may best assist you in a timely manner. You can direct all STSJP inquiries to Cara Korn at (518) 408-3999 or Cara.Korn@ocfs.ny.gov and Shawn Chin-Chance at (212) 961-4110 or Shawn.Chin-Chance@ocfs.ny.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Mancini".

Joseph Mancini
Associate Commissioner
DJOY Office of Community Partnerships

2015/2016 Municipality STSJP Review Sheet

Name of Municipality: Dutchess County

Reviewer Name: Annie Wellington, Greg Garcia, Kevin Lyc Date Received: 7/8/2015

Reviewer Name: Venita Barnett, Darrick Washington Date Approved: _____

Please Note: If the information requested in the following questions is not include, not clear or incomplete. Please contact the municipaity's STSJP Lead to request the plan be revised within a week so that it can be approved

Section One-Municipality Information

STSJP Lead Agency: Department of Community & Family Ser STSJP Lead Contact: Debra Bonnerwith

STSJP Lead Phone Number: 845-486-3004 STSJP Lead Email: _____

Section Two-List of Programs and Services to be Funded

For each of the programs listed in the plan, please answer the following questions

1. If this is a rollover funded program, is the approved program description consistent with what is documented in this STSJP plan?

No

2. Are the zipcodes identified for this program in a high needs area?

yes, entire county

3. Is it adequately documented as to how the program will be able to reduce the number of youth that were detained or placed?

yes

4. Is it adequately documented as to how the program is family focused?

yes

5. If the program can be replicated, is the explanation adequate as to how?

yes

6. Does the projected number of youth/families to be served support the cost of the program?

yes

7.If the program is being used as an ATD and an ATP, is it adequately described how the program will serve both populations?

yes

8. If the program was used last year, please review last years plan. Is the question answered?

yes

9.If there were barriers,how would you recommend bridging the barriers?

n/a

10. Please review last years plan. How many youth did they serve as compared to how many they proposed to serve?

Program 1-Riverhaven served 16 in 2015 projected 10; Program 2-PEAP projected 70 youth, served greater than 99; Program 3-foresnic psych evaluation projected 6, served 2

Section Three-Analysis of Communities

Does the municipality's analysis of the neighborhoods and communities that will be served by STSJP funded programs correspond to your knowledge of the neighborhoods and communities? If yes, how so? If no, how so?

yes, high risk areas are clearly identified with STSJP fails usage

If identified neighborhoods and communities have changes since last year, what changed?

no

Section Four-Disparity

Does the plan contain data that indicates whether there is racial/ethnic disparity in the use of detention or placement within the municipality?

yes

If disparity exists, does the plan explain how the STSJP funds will be used to help reduce the disparity?

yes

Section Five-Strategy

Briefly describe how each program will reduce the number of youth detained or placed.

Program 1 provide temp alt living for youth who are in crisis or cannot return home; Program 2, gives judge alt to placement when sentencing, Program 3-foresnic eval for sex offenders can be done as outpatient to avoid placement.

Briefly describe how each program is family focused.

Program 1-provide family counseling/family intervention; Program 2-probation provides assistance with limit setting and parental supervision; Program 3-engages families working together in an outpatient process.

Section Six-Outcomes

What is the estimate of anticipated reductions in detention and placements? Is it realistic or too low?

The estimated 90% remanded to non secure detention using the NYS DRAI. 75% of youth will avoid detention or out of home placement. 70% of EM youth will avoid detention.

What are the other positive outcomes for youth identified?

80% of parents and youth who complete cufew monitoring will report atleast one positive change. 70% of youth who receive services via Riverhaven will have positive change

Are there any changes from last year noted? yes

Section Seven-Comments

What if any comments were noted?

Each program was discussed along with its components

Section Eight-Plan Amounts

Are the STSJP and detention allocations correct? yes

Does the program expenses total all the variables (state reimbursement, local share, detention shift, JDAI allocation and rollover amount) ? yes

Is the municipality using less than their STSJP allocation amount? no

Section Nine-Approval

Is this section appropriately filled out? yes

Please ensure that you have filled out the OCFS STSJP Program Lead approval

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILE PROGRAM (STSJP)
FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2016 ANNUAL PLAN

STSJP Plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 6/ / 29 / 2015

Plans should be submitted to: ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Please ensure that the title **“Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Plan”** and your municipality name are in the subject field to facilitate the timely review of your STSJP Plan. **Note:** Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 replaces the term State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2015-16 and FY 2015 replaces the term SFY 2014-15.

Please direct any STSJP Plan questions to either:

Shawn.Chin-Chance@ocfs.ny.gov PH. 212-961-4110

Cara.Korn@OCFS.ny.gov PH. 518-408-3999

SECTION ONE- Municipal Information	
NAME OF MUNICIPALITY: Dutchess County	
STSJP LEAD AGENCY: Department of Community and Family Services	STSJP LEAD PERSON: Debra Bonnerwith
STSJP LEAD PHONE NUMBER: 845-486-3004	STSJP LEAD E-MAIL: Debra.Bonnerwith@dfa.state.ny.us

SECTION TWO – List of Programs and Services to be Funded

In this section, list the exact name of each program who have received STSJP funds, along with the projected amount of STSJP funds to be used for each. If this is a rollover program, please answer questions that are relevant to the funded program:

Program One-Name	Hudson River Housing - River Haven	Type of Program	ATD
Total Program Expenses	\$ 81,375	Rollover Funded Program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets:	All of Dutchess County		

2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement?
River Haven's respite care offers a safe, supervised environment within the community where youth can stay on a short-term basis as an alternative to detention. Instead of ordering youth into detention, Family Court Judges have the option of respite at River Haven with or without electronic monitoring. Respite care also provides an option for early release of youth that may be awaiting drug treatment or other services as well as for those who cannot immediately or successfully return home. While at River Haven youth are engaged in: family and/or individual counseling, educational/vocational programming, youth development opportunities and other STSJP/community services that reduce the risk of detention/residential placement and boost protective factors associated with positive youth development.

3. How will the program be family focused?
River Haven is located within the home community of the STSJP youth making regular contact with family more feasible than if they were placed in detention. Parents/guardians may visit STSJP youth staying at River Haven and/or communicate with staff as often as daily. Youth and families are linked to accessible family focused treatment services in the community and are provided counseling/crisis intervention services through River Haven as well. An individualized service plan is developed w/ each youth specifying their discharge destination goal, along with other goals and the steps to be taken/services to be utilized to reach their goals. Re-unification with family is the discharge destination goal for most STSJP youth and the service plan is developed and implemented accordingly.

4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations?
Yes.

5. What is the projected number of youth that are served by this STSJP funded program?
 STSJP funds will support 465 bed per diems of respite housing for FY 2015-2016. Based on the current average length of stay (36 nights), we expect to provide respite for at least 13 youth.

6. If program is being used as an Alternative to Detention (ATD) and an Alternative to Placement (ATP), how will it serve both populations of youth?
 N/A

7. If the program was used during FY 2015, please assess whether the service or program achieved the projected reductions in detention utilization and/or residential placements and other performance outcomes.
 In FY 2015, 16 youth were provided respite care and services through River Haven as an alternative to detention or as part of a plan to reduce length of stay in detention, exceeding the projected number of 13. Upon exit from River Haven, 12 of the 16 youth (75%) returned home to parent or to the home of a relative. While at River Haven, each of the 16 youth participated in educational programming, peer groups, supervised recreational activities, community service projects, and other activities associated with positive youth development.

8. What were the barriers if not met? N/A

Did the program receive STSJP funds for FY 2015? Yes No **If Yes, answer the questions below:**

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 4/1/14

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? 36 days

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? 16

Program Two -Name	Probation Enhanced Alternatives Program		Type of Program	ATD/ATP
Total Program Expenses	\$ 121,292	Rollover Funded Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets:		All of Dutchess County		

2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement?
 Probation Enhanced Alternatives Program will give family court judges additional options short of residential placement to consider when imposing sentence. These options, including curfew monitoring and electronic monitoring, are viewed as more intensive than pre-dispositional release under supervision alone, yet less restrictive/intense than residential placement. Both curfew monitoring and juvenile electronically monitored house arrest also provide increased contacts between probation officers, youth and their families. Probation officers will have increased opportunities to observe and interact with youth and families in their home environments. In addition, wrap around funds will be available when necessary in order to meet some basic needs of youth going through the juvenile justice system in order to support attempts to achieve positive outcomes.

3. How will the program be family focused?
 Through curfew monitoring and electronic monitoring, the Office of Probation and the Family Courts are able to partner with the family in order to achieve the goal of behavior change in youth involved in the juvenile justice system. Curfew monitoring provides an incentive for youth to maintain stability and avoid situations outside the home during the evening hours that may lead to continued delinquency or unsupervised counterproductive conduct. Electronic monitoring supports this goal as well, but on a more intense basis for youth requiring a higher level of supervision. These programs help parents to set and enforce limits with their child, and provide an extra layer of support for parents in their efforts.

4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations?

Yes, this program, or parts of it, may be replicated across multiple locations. This program is dependant on having a trained group of probation officers able to work during evening hours across a wide area including high crime areas. The workers require a means of transportation and an understanding of community resources.

5. If the program was used during FY 2015, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes?

Goal: Ten youth will be discharged from detention to STSJP Services.

During FY-2015, Twenty-five youth were discharged from detention to STSJP Services.

Goal: Ten youth will be placed on STSJP services as an alternative to being placed in detention

During FY-2015 well over 100 youth were placed on STSJP Services on a pre-dispositional basis.

Goal: Ninety percent of youth remanded to non-secure detention will be assessed using the NYS DRAI.

99% of youth remanded were assessed using the DRAI.

Goal: Eighty percent of parents and children who complete curfew monitoring will report at least one positive change.

Of the respondents who agreed to complete a survey and subsequently followed through, 96% reported at least one postive change as a result of their involvement in curfew monitoring services.

Goal: Seventy-five percent of youth who receive curfew monitoring services will avoid detention or out of home placement.

70 of 88, or 79.5% of youth who recieved curfew monitoring services avoided detention or out of home placement.

Goal: Seventy-five percent of youth who receive electronic monitoring services will avoid detention or out of home placement.

80 of 99, or 80% of youth who received electronic monitoring services avoided detention or out of home placement.

6. What were the barriers if not met?

N/A

7. If program was used as an ATD and an ATP, how was it used to serve both populations of youth?

Youth were placed on curfew monitoring and electronic monitoring at various stages in the Juvenile Justice System including prior to adjudication as a condition of being released under supervision. In this way it was an alternative to secure or non-secure detention available to family court judges. Youth were also placed on curfew monitoring/electronic monitoring as a condition of probation, post-disposition. This increased level of probation supervision made probation a more attractive option for family court judges for higher risk and need cases that might otherwise would have been sent to placement. Wrap around funds were also available to youth involved with the probation department at pre-dispositional or post-sentence phases of their involvement with family court. Therefore, we were able to support youth in their efforts to be successful in avoiding detention or placement.

8. What is the projected number of youth that will be served by this STSJP – funded program? 70

Did the program receive STSJP funds for FY 2015? Yes No **If Yes, answer the questions below:**

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 4/1/2014

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? 103 days

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? >99

Program Three -Name	Sex Offender Evaluations and Forensic Psychiatric Evaluations	Type of Program	ATD/ATP
Total Program Expenses	\$ 12,000	Rollover Funded Program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
1. Please indicate specific zip codes that your plan targets:	All of Dutchess County		

2. How will the program reduce the number of youth who are detained or in residential placement?

If it were not for this funding, youth would need to be detained or placed in order to be evaluated.

3. How will the program be family focused?

Families will participate in the evaluation and supply information to the evaluator. If safety plans are developed, families will be involved in implementing the safety plans and also in obtaining services that may be recommended.

4. Can the program be replicated across multiple locations?

Yes

5. If the program was used during FY 2015, were the performance outcomes met and describe the outcomes?

Yes, two youth were not detained or placed and they were provided with outpatient evaluations.

6. What were the barriers if not met?

N/A

7. If program was used as an ATD and an ATP, how was it used to serve both populations of youth?

Youth did not need detention or placement in order to receive the evaluations.

8. What is the projected number of youth that will be served by this STSJP – funded program? Up to 6

Did the program receive STSJP funds for FY 2015? Yes No **If Yes, answer the questions below:**

1. When did the program start using FY 2015 STSJP Funds? 11/2014

2. What was the average length of stay for youth in program or service? N/A - Outpatient service

3. How many youth received services in the program during FY 2015? 2

SECTION THREE – Analysis of Communities

Provide an analysis that identifies the neighborhoods or communities from which the greatest number of juvenile delinquents, juvenile offenders and persons in need of supervision (PINS) are remanded to detention or residentially placed. Are these the communities and neighborhoods served in the previous years' approved plan, if not, what has changed?

During the year 2014, the breakdown of detention youth is as follows:

SECURE DETENTION - 24 youth

11 youth resided in Poughkeepsie (45%)

4 youth resided in Beacon (17%)

3 youth resided in Wappinger Falls (13%)

3 youth resided in Out of County (13%)

1 youth resided in Dover (4%)

1 youth resided in Lagrange (4%)

1 youth resided in Rhinebeck (4%)

NON SECURE DETENTION - 74 youth

40 youth resided in Poughkeepsie (54%);

10 youth resided in Other (14%);

8 youth resided in Wappinger Falls (11%);

6 youth resided in Beacon (9%);

5 youth resided in Out of County (6%);

4 youth resided in Lagrange (5%);

1 youth resided in Dover (1%)

RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT - 26 youth

11 youth resided in City/Town of Poughkeepsie (42%)

2 youth resided in Beacon (8%)

1 youth resided in Wappinger Falls (4%)

2 youth resided in Hyde Park (8%)

1 youth resided in Rhinebeck (4%)

6 youth resided in other areas of Dutchess County (23%)

3 youth resided outside of Dutchess County (11%)

The data demonstrates that 40 out of 74 (54%) non secure detention youth; 11 of 24 (46%) secure detention youth; and 11 of 26 youth (42%) in residential placement were from the City/Town of Poughkeepsie. Youth from these communities were served in the previous year's approved plan.

The majority of youth placed or remanded to detention are from the Poughkeepsie area. STSJP Services are open to youth anywhere within Dutchess County including those mentioned above. This has been the case historically during our involvement with STSJP. We plan to continue this practice in the future as well.

SECTION FOUR – Disparity

In this section, please provide information indicating whether the use of detention or residential placement in your service area exhibits a significant racial or ethnic disparity or disproportionality. Please note that when looking for disparity, highlight, with the use of accurate data, youth who given comparable levels of need, do not receive equal utilization of services. Seek out all decision points to illustrate usage. When looking for disproportionality, identify any population groups who are underrepresented in a larger population and then overrepresented in a subset population. For example, population group A represents 15 percent of the general population but represents 75 percent of the detention population. If you currently do not measure these variables, please include your plan for data collection for Racial and Ethnic Disparities across your system. If no disparities or disproportionalities exist in your system simply state that in the space below.

According to the US Census 2010 Summary File Dutchess County NY demographics regarding race included the following information:

Dutchess County 297,488

Race/Ethnicity		Percent
White	238,387	80.1
Black/AA	29,518	9.9
Bi-Racial	7800	2.6
Hispanic	31,267	10.5

City of Poughkeepsie 32,736

Race/ Ethnicity		Percent
White	16,649	50.9
Black/AA	10,967	33.5
Bi-Racial	1475	4.5
Hispanic	6384	19.5

Town of Poughkeepsie 43,341

Race/Ethnicity		Percent
White	33,535	77.4
Black/AA	4230	9.8
Bi-racial	1409	3.3
Hispanic	4233	9.8

City of Beacon 15,541

Race/Ethnicity		Percent
White	9887	63.6
Black/AA	3612	23.2
Bi-Racial	696	4.5
Hispanic	3219	20.7

During the year 2014, the breakdown of detention youth was as follows:

SECURE DETENTION: 22 Males (92%); 2 Females (8%)

13 youth were African American (54%)

7 youth were White (29%)

2 youth were Hispanic (9%)

1 was Other (4%)

1 was Bi-racial (4%)

NON SECURE DETENTION - 74 youth 48 Males (65%); 26 Females (35%)

27 youth were White (36%)

25 youth were African American (34%)

12 youth were Hispanic (16%)

6 youth were Bi-racial (9%)

4 youth were Other (5%)

RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT - 26 youth 21 Males (81%); 5 Females (19%)

11 youth were African American (42%)

9 youth were White (35%)

3 youth were Bi-racial (11%)

2 youth were Hispanic (8%)

1 youth was Other (4%)

The data demonstrates there were more African Americans in secure detention than all other race/ethnicities combined. Dutchess County has some disparity in the use of detention and residential placement. Compared to last year, both African American and White youth in secure detention went up but Hispanic and Bi-Racial came down. Additionally, in non secure detention the percentages of African American, White, and Bi-Racial youth came down but Hispanic and Other youth went up.

If such disproportionality exists, describe how the service/program proposed for funding will address this disparity.

Dutchess County will continue to work to reduce this disproportionality by using the evidence based detention assessment tool, Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI), to determine the need for detention.

One strategy used as part of the Probation Department's monitoring is notification to youth and families to remind them of court appearances. This has been determined to be an effective strategy for increasing African American youths' attendance at court proceedings and reducing racial and ethnic disparity by the Burn's Institute, presented at the NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Symposium in March 2009.

Dutchess County will continue to utilize a system of graduated sanctions to try to avoid detention for all youth.

Dutchess County continues to participate in the NYS OCFS Disproportionate Minority Representation (DMR) Project, which has increased awareness of this issue in Dutchess County. We have already brought a national expert, Khatib Waheed, to the county to provide training to DCFS, Probation and other community partners, including voluntary agency and OCFS staff. In addition, we have added this topic to the county's Juvenile Justice subcommittee of the Criminal Justice Council. This subcommittee meets approximately monthly and includes a number of community partners including Family Court staff. Dutchess County has also added a DMR subcommittee to the local Court Improvement Project, which includes DCFS, Probation, Family Court and other community partners.

River Haven is located in and/or is targeting its STSJP services toward the zip codes with the highest rates of racial or ethnic disproportionality.

A separate copy of all of the juvenile pre-trial and sentencing options currently available is attached.

Justification and Overall Strategy – The purpose of STSJP funds is to establish supports and services for youth who, absent these services, are likely to be detained or placed. Funds should therefore be clearly targeted to meet the needs of the types of youth who in the past have been admitted to detention or residential placement. With this specific purpose in mind, describe the strategy devised by your collaborative partners (list your collaborative partners) to address the STSJP Funding objective through the programs chosen in Section Two.

Dutchess County community partners believe that it is important to develop a system wide vision regarding services to families and youth. Many of our families are known to more than one system, including but not limited to DCFS, Probation, Family Court, Criminal Court and Mental Health. This makes a system wide approach very important in providing meaningful services that assist families to prevent placement, but do not overwhelm families. We have a long history of collaboration between community partners in our county and have brought a focus of preventing placement and providing services in the home to many collaboratives, including juvenile justice initiatives.

Examples of positive change and family engagement:

From curfew monitoring surveys 4/1/2014 to 3/31/2015:

From Parents:

"[Curfew monitoring] helped me to have more control & back me up, held things accountable"

"Billy learned he can adhere to rules & there are consequences."

"[Curfew monitoring] kept him home and safe."

"[I] gained confidence in decision making and the team backed my decisions"

"[Curfew monitoring] eliminated a certain circle of friends & created a better balance & structure that home life needed."

"He goes to school because he's well rested instead of staying out late."

From Youth:

"[Curfew monitoring's impact was] less arguing because parents wanted me in the house"

"[Curfew monitoring] reassured dad where I was."

"It helped me get home on time."

"I was home to do homework, mother was happy."

"[Curfew staff] was kind and respectful."

SECTION SIX – Outcomes

Performance Outcomes – For FY 2016, provide the projected performance outcomes for your proposed services and programs, being sure to include: An estimate of the anticipated reductions in detention utilization and residential placements.

1. Ten youth will be discharged from detention to STSJP services.
2. Ten youth will be placed on STSJP services as an alternative to being placed in detention.
3. Three youth will be discharged from DCFS foster care placement with the support of STSJP services that otherwise would have had extensions of placements.
4. 90% of youth remanded to non-secure detention will be assessed using the NYS DRAI.
5. 80% of parents and children who complete curfew monitoring will report at least one positive change.
6. 75% of youth who receive curfew monitoring services will avoid detention or out of home placement.
7. 70% of youth who receive electronic monitoring services will avoid detention or out of home placement.
8. 60% of the youth receiving respite care through River Haven will be discharged to their family or to an appropriate residence other than detention or residential placement.

9. 70% of youth receiving respite care through River Haven will participate in supervised activities associated with positive youth development and will indicate positive behavioral changes and/or skill gains as documented through attendance records and surveys.

Other projected positive outcomes for youth who participate in the services and programs:

Youth will be linked to services and out of home placement will be avoided. OCFS outcomes including safety of youth and community, permanency and well being will be facilitated in Dutchess County. Disproportionate minority representation of youth in detention will be positively impacted.

Are there any changes in allocations or practices planned for FY 2016 based on experiences in FY 2015, please list those changes:

Experience in 2015 demonstrated the need for respite care at River Haven far exceeded the one bed funded through STSJP. In response we amended our 2015 STSJP budget to purchase a second bed for 1/1/15-3/31/15 period. For FY 2016, we are requesting to fund a total of 1.27 respite beds or 465 respite housing bed per diems annually.

From Probation: Based on our needs we are not including a request for workbooks for NCTI groups this year. We will not be asking for funds for linkages to services this year as we will be undertaking project in the near future with Columbia University as part of a study to provide an on-site linkage and engagement specialist. We feel that some of the funds should be reallocated toward curfew monitoring services as we attempt to operate the curfew monitoring services for a full twelve months.

SECTION SEVEN– Comments

Summary of STSJP Programs and Funding:

1. Respite Care for Youth Awaiting Services

Projected amount of funds to be used: \$81,375.

Respite care at a local youth shelter, River Haven, with or without electronic monitoring will be made available for youth awaiting inpatient services for drug rehabilitation or other necessary services. Dutchess County youth sometimes remain in detention for their safety and/or community safety pending services becoming available. Respite care with or without electronic monitoring will be provided as an alternative when appropriate. Funding is for 465 per diem beds for a twelve month period.

This service will be provided through a contract with:

Hudson River Housing

313 Mill Street

Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

2. Probation Enhanced Alternatives Program

Projected amount of funds to be used: \$121,292

This program includes several services including:

a. Curfew Monitoring for Juveniles -

Home and curfew checks provide monitoring and support for youth and their families. As part of this monitoring, youth receive reminder calls about upcoming court appearances, to increase court appearances and decrease the need for warrants, which could result in detention remands. Additional monitoring works to keep youth out of detention and placement. At least one study, "Court-Ordered Curfew: The application of graduated sanctions for juvenile offenders" has yielded results which indicated that youth who received curfew monitoring were less likely to be victimized or engage in self-reported acts of juvenile delinquency. Parents also viewed this program positively. Dutchess County's implementation of curfew monitoring will include elements of this program, including graduated sanctions and responding swiftly to infractions. In its July 2013 teleconference, OCFS also recommended elements included in Dutchess County's curfew monitoring program as best practices.

b. Electronic Monitoring for Juveniles -

Electronic monitoring is a program for PINS and JD youth that serve as an immediate alternative to non-secure detention. The youth in this program would otherwise have been placed in a non-secure detention or in placement. There are some costs associated with electronic monitoring, for example, purchasing bracelets and families needing an active phone line. Electronic monitoring is an intervention that may be used for youth who score as having higher risk on the detention tool. This year's funding will include an allocation for replacement electronic monitoring bracelets, if units malfunction or are damaged.

c. Wrap around services such as transportation and drug testing

Wrap around services are sometimes requested for youth who receive probation services. Wrap around fund uses include, but are not limited to assisting families as needed with transportation to get to therapy or other programs, costs for drug testing supplies, phone lines needed for electronic monitoring and assistance with therapy co-pays. The use of and need for wrap around funds will be determined on a case-by-case basis by Probation Department supervisory staff members.

This service will be provided through a contract with:

Dutchess County Department of Probation and Community Corrections

50 Market Street

Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

3. Sex Offender Evaluations and Forensic Psychiatric Evaluations

Projected amount of funds to be used: \$12,000.

Evaluations will be provided when court ordered for PINS or JD youth to determine mental health and services needs which may be provided in lieu of detention and/or placement outside of the home. Evaluations will be provided by subcontract with Astor Services for Youth and Families. This funding is used on an as needed basis.

This service will be provided through a contract with:

Astor Services for Children and Families

13 Mount Carmel Place

Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

If all of the funding allotted to one program is not used by that program, it will be applied to one of the other programs if needed, to ensure maximum use of and benefit from the funding.

SECTION EIGHT– Plan Amounts

Instructions:

- A. Enter all program expenses in Program Services tab.
- B. Specify State Reimbursements for this plan (lines 6-9)

Expenses

1. Program Expenses (from Program Services)	\$214,667	
2. State Reimbursement (Line 1* 0.62)		\$133,093

Available Reimbursements

3. STSJP Allocation	\$108,293
4. Detention Allocation	\$1,117,377
5. JDAI	0

Reimbursements for this Plan

6. STSJP Allocation	\$108,293
7. Detention Allocation being shifted to STSJP (if applicable)	\$24,800
8. JDAI (if applicable)	0
9. FY 2015 Rollover (if applicable)	0
10. Total Reimbursements (Lines 6-9)	\$133,093

State and Local Totals

11. State Share Amount (Line 10)	\$133,093
12. Local Share Amount (Subtract Line 11 from 10)	\$81,574

SECTION NINE– Approval

Approval of the Chief Executive Officer

As STSJP Lead for Dutchess County Municipality, I certify that the CEO
 County Executive Marcus J. Molinaro has reviewed and approved the 2015-2016 plan.

Date: 06 / 29 / 2015 STSJP Lead 13A786 STSJP Lead Debra Bonnerwith
 User ID: _____ Printed Name: _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

Instructions for properly processing an STSJP plan.

- a. Once you have opened a copy of the OCFS-2121 form, please immediately use the "Save As" function in Microsoft Word to save a copy of the document on your computer.
- b. Please save your STSJP plan using the following format; (Municipality Name 2015-2016 STSJP Plan)
- c. Work from the "saved" plan document using it to record all of your municipality's information. Please use the document OCFS- 2121A to document additional STSJP programs.
- d. Once you have satisfactorily completed entering the required data, save the document.
- e. Section Nine must be completed prior to OCFS review of STSJP Plan.
- f. Upload completed plan and send it to OCFS via the STSJP email address at ocfs.sm.stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov

Approval of the OCFS STSJP Program Lead

As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles
 Program Plan for Dutchess Municipality and 2015-2016 fiscal year.

Date: 8/6/15 User ID: _____ Printed Name: Alicia Campbell